LIMPOPO PROVINCE



AUDITED ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2012

AFS Rounding: To the nearest R1

CONTACT INFORTMATION

Acting Municipal Manager

Telephone Number

E-mail Address

Mr M E Mankabidi

015 307 8001

masiye.mankabidi@tzaneen.gov.za

Chief Financial Officer

Telephone Number

E-mail Address

Ms N M Lion

015 307 8060

norah.lion@tzaneen.gov.za

Provincial Treasury

Telephone Number

E-mail Address

Nicky Mbungela

015 291 8534

MbungelaNN@treasury.gov.za

AGSA

Telephone Number

E-mail Address

Daniel Tjale

015 299 4401

dtjale@agsa co za

National Treasury

Telephone Number

E-mail Address

Thomas Matjeni

012 315 5792

ddress thomas.matjeni@treasury.gov.za

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS: 2011/2012

IA	BLE OF CONTENTS	Pages
1.	General Information	1-2
2.	Approval of Financial Statements	3
3.	Statement of Financial Position	4
4.	Statement of Financial Performance	5
5.	Statement of Changes in Net Assets	6
6.	Cash Flow Statement	7
7.	Accounting Policy	8-23
8.	Standards and interpretations issued but not yet effective	24-31
9.	Notes to the Annual Financial Statements	32-58
10.	Appendices .	
a)	Schedule of external loans	62
b)	Analysis of property plant and equipment	63
c)	Segmental analysis of property plant and equipment	64
d)	Segmental statement of financial performance	65
e)	(1) Actual versus Budget (Revenue and Expenditure)	66
e)	(2) Actual versus Budget (Acquisition of property plant and equipment) equipment)	67

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS: 2011/2012

GENERAL INFORMATION

Mayor: Councillor D J Mmetle

Members of the Executive Committee:

Portfolio

Councillor D J Mmetle

Councillor C Machimana Finance

Councillor M Mokgomole Agriculture & Environment Management

Councillor R Pohl

Councillor C Nkhwashu Water Service Councillor R R Selomo Infrastrucuture

Councillor S J Nkuna Health & Social Development
Councillor B Sekgotodi Public Transport & Roads

Councillor S M Maunatlala Economic Development, Housing &

Spatial Development Plan

Councillor M R Shingange Corporate Gov. & Shared Services

Councillor P Machete Speaker
Councillor M N Mboweni Chief whip

Members of the Audit Committee:

Mr. T.C. Modipane Chairperson
Ms. F.J. Mudau Member
Mr. H.G. Hlomane Member
Mr. S.A.B Ngobeni Member
Adv. S.S.T. Kholong Member

Banker:

ABSA TZANEEN 0850

Legal form of entity South African Local Municipality as defined by the

Municipal Structures Act (Act no 117 of 1998)

Nature of business and principal activities

Greater Tzaneen Municipality is a local municipality performing the functions as set out in the constitution

(Act no 105 of 1996)

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS: 2011/2012

GENERAL INFORMATION (CONTINUES)

GTEDA is a Municipal Agency Performing the Functions consistant with that of an Agency and not that of an Entity

Jurisdiction within which the Municipality operates

The Greater Tzaneen Municipality is situated in the eastern quadrant of the Limpopo Province within the Mopani District Municipality Area of Jurisdiction. It comprises a land area of approximately 3240 km², and extends from Haenertsburg in the west, to Rubbervale in the east (85km), and just south of Modjadjiskloof in the north, to Trichardtsdal in the

south(47km).

Physical Address

Greater Tzaneen Municipality

Agatha Street Civic Centre Tzaneen 0850

Postal Address

Greater Tzaneen Municipality

PO Box 24 Tzaneen 0850

Telephone Number

015 307 8000

Fax Number

015 307 8049

E-Mail Address

masiye.mankabidi@tzaneen.gov.za

Acting Municipal Manager

Masiye Elias Mankabidi: 1 July 2012-

31 August 2012

Chief Financial Officer

Norah Mokgadi Lion: From 1 August 2012

Grading of Greater Tzannen Municipality Grade 4: High Capacity

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED

30 June 2012

APPROVAL OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

I am responsible for the preparation of these annual financial statements, which are set out on pages 1 to 67 in terms of Section 126 (1) of the Municipal Finance Management Act and which I have signed on behalf of the Municipality.

I certify that the salaries, allowances and benefits of Councillors as disclosed in note 25 of these financial statements are within the upper limits of the framework envisaged in Section 219 of the Constitution, read with the Remuneration of Public Officer Bearers Act and the Minister of Provincial and Local Government's determination in accordance with this Act.

I have complied with the disclosure requirements in terms of section 122 to 126 of the Municipal Finance Management Act.

M É Mankabidi

Acting Municipal Manager

Date: 31 August 2012

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION

	NOTE	2012	2011
		R	R
OMMUNITY WEALTH AND LIABILITIES			
ccumulated Surplus /(Deficit)		1 627 889 833	1 794 955 625
IABILITIES		386 642 251	294 670 122
on-Current liabilities	•	199.030.206	160 515 692
Borrowings	1	142 330 562	111 772 556
Ion-current Provisions	4	52 764 306	45 229 289
Financial lease liability	2	3 935 338	3 513 847
Current llabilities		487 612 045	134 154 430
finance lease liability	2 ·	2:753.768	1 696 566
Consumer deposits	3	18 554 112	11 574 824
Provisions	5	2 139 391	1 982 059
Accounts Payable	6	88 933 087 25 738 089 39 919 997	79 307 759
/AT Payable	7	25 738 089	19 236 926
Inspent Conditional Grants and Receipts	8	39 919 997	15 909 094
Current portion of long-term borrowings	1	9 573 601	4 447 202
Total Liabilities		2 014 532 084	2 089 625 747
TOTAL CIADIRNAS		20,4002,004	2 000 020 141
ASSETS			
Non-current assets		1/793/778/620	1 890 410 765
Intengible Assets	9	49 645	81 545
Investment properties	10	208 608 792	305 491 622
Property, plant and equipment	11	1 561 443 008	1 564 154 969
Held-to-maturity Investments	12	23 677 175	20 682 629
Non-current receivables	, 13	0	0
Current assets		220.753.464	199 214 982
Inventory	14	10 977 924	10 729 924
Receivables	15	54 562 911	46 593 962
Other receivables	17	104 587 505	116 587 927
Operating lease assets	18	176 954	204 632
Cash and cash equivalents	19	50 277 604	22 198 274
Current portion of long-term receivables	13	170 566	2 900 263
Total Assets		2.014 532 084	2 089 625 747
I Oldi ASSOIS		2.0 (4 532:004	171 040 000 4

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

	ACTUAL		
	NOTE	2012	2011
REVENUE	a m	R	R
Property rates	20	-55.727.950	44 684 769
Property rates - penalties imposed and collection charges	1	3/106 571	3 254 284
Service charges	21	329 306 461	266 937 445
Rental of facilities and equipment		393 210	578 984
Interest earned - external investments	3	5 159 947	2 307 906
Interest earned - outstanding receivables		13 943 143	14 062 262
Fines		7 009 597	3 110 380
Licences and permits		498.951	413 695
Income for agency services	,	20 929 065	8 878 626
Government grants and subsidies	22	208 297 060	193 660 678
Other income	23	7.166.074	5 369 070
Public contributions, donated and contributed property, plant & equipment	2		•
		% 651,538 026	543 258 099
EXPENDITURE	24	97.737.149	91 435 963
Employee related costs	25	16 655 144	15 227 957
Remuneration of Councillors	20	12 496 142	21 565 129
Bad debts		667.961	599 575
Collection costs		-38 856	162 676
Loss on Inventory		99 936 375	99 195 407
Depreciation Repairs and maintenance	26	90 714 319	100 981 820
Finance cost	27	12 766 508	7 783 516
Impairment of assets	21	96.882.830	0,000,0
Bulk purchases	28	204 802 906	176 451 428
Contracted services	29	28.892.588	26 644 676
Grants and subsidies paid	30	24 560 971	29 898 457
General expenses	31	54'099'844	40 015 485
CONTOUR ONPORTOVO			
Total Expenditure		340 173 881	609 962 089
Gain/(loss) on sale of assets	33	3 503	
NET SURPLUS / (DEFICIT) FOR THE YEAR		-88 639 358	-66 703 990

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

	Accumulated Surplus/ (Deficit)
•	R
2011	
Balance at 30 June 2010	1 370 854 264
Changes in accounting policy	
Correction of prior period error 2010	430 023 266
Restated balance	1 800 877 530
Surplus/(Deficit) on revaluation of PPE	
Depreciation on take-on assets	
Other items	205 475
Transfers to / from accumulated surplus/(deficit)	60 576 610
Surplus/(deficit) for the period	-66 703 990
Balance at 30June	1 794 955 625
Surplus/(deficit) on revaluation of PPE	
Appropriations	
Transfers to / from accumulated surplus/(deficit)	
Surplus/(deficit) for the period	
Balance at 30 JUNE 2011	1 794 955 625
2012	::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
	Accumulated
	Surplus/
	(Deficit)
	R
Balance at 30 June 2011	1.794 955 625
Changes in accounting policy	
Correction of prior period error 2010	
Restated balance	1794 955 626
Surplus/(Deficit) on revaluation of PPE	
Depreciation on take on assets.	
Transfers to / from accumulated surplus/(deficit)	
Surplus/(deficit) for the period	- 88 639 358
Balance at 30June	1.706 316 267
Surplus/(deficit) on revaluation of PPE	
Appropriations	-35.005;373
Transfers to / from accumulated surplus/(deficit) 3	-43 421.06
Surplus/(deficit) for the period Balance at 30 JUNE 2012	1 627 889 83

CASH FLOW STATEMENT

	NOTE	2012	2011
			R
CASH FLOW FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES			K
Cash receipts from ratepayers, government and other		620 510 257	524 206 053
Cash paid to suppliers and employees		-598 995 848	-514 388 160
Cash generated from operations	34	21 514 409	9 817 893
nterest received		19 103 090	16 370 168
nterest paid		-12. 7 66.508	-7 783 516
NET CASH FROM OPERTING ACTIVITIES		27.850 991	18 404 545
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Purchase of property, plant and equipment		39 440 807	-62 378 191
Proceeds on disposal of property plant & equipment			0
ncrease/(Decrease) in non-current receivables			0
ncrease/(Decrease) in non current investments		-2.994 546	-2 755 094
NET CASH FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		-42.435.353	-65 133 285
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACIVITIES			
New loans raised / (repaid)		35 684 404	68 170 170
ncrease in consumer deposits		6 979 288	4 916 268
NET CASH FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		42 663 692	73 086 438
NET INCREASE/(DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		28 079 330	26 367 698
in in the many section of the same of the			20 007 000
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year		22 198 274	-4 159 424
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year	19	50 277 604	22 198 274
		AC450 (50° 000 AS)	

ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The principal accounting posicles adopted in the preparation of these financial statements are set out below and are consistent with those of the previous financial year.

1 BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

1.1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The annual financial statements have been prepared on an accrual basis of accounting and are in accordance with historical cost convention, unless specified otherwise.

The Annual financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Standards of Generally Recognised Accounting Practices (GRAP) Issued by the Accounting Standards Board in accordance with Section 122(3) of the Municipal Finance Management Act, (Act No 56 of 2003)

The principal accounting policies adopted in the preparation of these annual financial statements are set out below.

Assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses have not been offset except when offsetting is required or permitted by a Standard of GRAP.

The accounting policies applied are consistent with those used to present the previous year's financial statements, unless explicitly stated. The details of any changes in accounting policies are explained in the relevant policy. Accounting policies are consistent with the previous periods

1.2 Significant judgements and sources of estimation uncertainty

in preparing the financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts represented in the financial statements and related disclosures. Use of available information and the application of judgement is inherent in the formation of estimates. Actual results in the future could differ from these estimates which may be material to the financial statements. Significant judgements include:

Trade receivables / Held to maturity investments and/or loans and receivables

The municipality assesses its trade receivables, held to malurity investments and loans and receivables for impairment at the end of each reporting period, in determining whether an impairment loss should be recorded in surplus or deficit, the surplus makes judgements as to whether there is observable data indicating a measurable decrease in the estimated future cash flows from a financial asset.

The impairment for trade receivables, held to maturity investments and loans and receivables is calculated on a portfolio basis, based on historical loss ratios, adjusted for national and industry-specific economic conditions and other indicators present at the reporting date that correlate with defaults on the portfolio. These annual loss ratios are applied to loan balances in the portfolio and scaled to the estimated loss emergence period.

Allowance for slow moving, damaged and obsolete stock

An allowance for stock to write stock down to the lower of cost or net realisable value. Management have made estimates of the selling price and direct cost to sell on certain Inventory items. The write down is included in the operation surplus note.

Fair value estimation

The fair value of financial instruments traded in active markets (such as trading and available-for-sale securities) is based on quoted market prices at the end of the reporting period. The quoted market price used for financial assets held by the municipality is the current bid price.

The carrying value less impairment provision of trade receivables and payables are assumed to approximate their fair values. The fair value of financial liabilities for disclosure purposes is estimated by discounting the future contractual cash flows at the current market interest rate that is available to the municipality for similar financial instruments.

Impairment testing

The recoverable amounts of cash-generating units and individual assets have been determined based on the higher of value-in-use calculations and fair values less costs to sell. These calculations require the use of estimates and assumptions.

The municipality reviews and tests the carrying value of assets when events or changes in circumstances suggest that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. Assets are grouped at the lowest level for which identifiable cash flows are largely independent of cash flows of other assets and liabilities. If there are indications that impalment may have occurred, estimates are prepared of expected future cash flows for each group of assets. Expected future cash flows used to determine the value in use of goodwill and tangible assets are inherently uncertain and could materially change over time. They are significantly affected by a number of factors including production estimates, supply demand, together with economic factors such as inflation interest and economic conditions.

Provisions

Provisions were raised and management determined an estimate based on the information available. Additional disclosure of these estimates of provisions are included in note. Provisions.

Post-rettrement benefits

The present value of the post retirement obligation depends on a number of factors that are determined on an actuarial basis using a number of assumptions. The assumptions used in determining the net cost (income) include the discount rate. Any changes in these assumptions will impact on the carrying amount of post retirement obligations.

ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The municipality determines the appropriate discount rate at the end of each year. This is the interest rate that should be used to determine the present value of estimated future cash outflows expected to be required to settle the pension obligations. In determining the appropriate discount rate, the municipality considers the interest rates of high-quality corporate bonds that are denominated in the currency in which the benefits will be paid, and that have terms to maturity approximating the terms of the related pension flability.

Other key assumptions for pension obligations are based on current market conditions. Additional information is disclosed in Note.

Effective interest rate

The municipality used the prime interest rate to discount future cash flows.

Allowance for doubtful debts

On debtors an impairment loss is recognised in surplus and deficit when there is objective evidence that it is impaired. The impairment is measured as the difference between the debtors carrying amount and the present value of estimated future cash flows discounted at the effective interest rate, computed at initial recognition.

1.3 PRESENTATION CURRENCY

These annual financial statement are presented in South African rand, which is the functional currency of the municipality.

1.4 GOING CONCERN ASSUMPTION

The annual financial statements have been prepared on the assumption that the municipality will continue to operate as a going concern for at least the next 12 months. (Refer to note 46 for managements assessment of going concern).

1.5 COMPARITIVE INFORMATION

1.5.1 Current Year Comparatives

Budgeted amounts have been included in the annual financial statements for the current financial year only.

1.5.2 Prior Year Comparatives

When the presentation or classification of items in the ennual financial statements are amended, prior period comparative amounts are reclassified.

2 PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

2.f Initial Recognition

Property, plant and equipment are tangible non-current assets (including infrastructure assets) that are held for use in the production or supply of goods or services, rental to others, or for administrative purposes, and are expected to be used during more than one year. Items of property, plant and equipment are initially recognised as assets on acquisition date and are initially recorded at cost. The cost of an item of property, plant and equipment is the purchase price and other costs attributable to bring the asset to the location and condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by the municipality. Trade discounts and rebates are deducted in arriving at the cost. The cost also includes the necessary cost of dismantling and removing the asset and restoring the site on which it is located.

When significant components of an item of property, plan and equipment have different useful lives, they are accounted for as separate items (major components) of property, plant and equipment.

Where an asset is acquired by the municipality for no or nominal consideration (i.e. a non-exchange transaction), the cost is deemed to be equal to the fair value of the asset on the date acquired.

Subsequent to initial recognition, items of property, plant and equipment are measured at cost less accumulated depreciation and impairment losses. Land is not depreciated as it is deemed to have an indefinite useful life.

Subsequent expenditure relating to property, plant and equipment is capitalised if it is probable that future economic benefits or potential service delivery of the asset are enhanced in excess of the originally assessed standard of performance. If expenditure only restores the originally assessed standard of performance, then it is regarded as repairs and maintenance and is expensed. The enhancement of an existing asset so that its use is expanded or the further development of an asset so that its original life is extended are examples of subsequent expenditure which should be capitalised.

2.2 Property, plant and equipment

The residual value, and the useful life and depreciation method of each asset are reviewed at the end of each reporting date. If the expectations differ from previous estimates, the change is accounted for as a change in accounting estimate.

Reviewing the useful life of an asset on an annual basis does not require the entity to amend the previous estimate unless expectations differ from the previous estimate.

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2012

ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Depreciation is calculated on cost, using the straight-line method, over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The annual depreciation rates are based on the following estimated useful lives.

A more appropriate useful life can also be motivated by the department purchasing the asset, and the useful life is approved by the Financial Manager

INFRASTRUCTURE Roads and Paving Pedestrian Malis Electricity Water Sewerage Housing	YEARS 30 30 20 - 30 15 - 20 15 - 20 30
COMMUNITY Improvements Recreational Facilities Security	30 20 - 30 5
OTHER Buildings Specialist Vehicles Other Vehicles Office Equipment Furniture and fittings Watercraft Bins and containers Specialised plant an equipment Other Items of plant and equipment	30 10 5 3-7 7-10 15 5 10-15 2-5

Heritage assets, which are defined as culturally significant resources, are not depreciated as they are regarded as having an indefinite life. Land is also not depreciated for the same reason.

incomplete construction work is stated at historic cost. Depreciation only commences when the asset is commissioned into use.

2,4 Derecognition

Ilems of Property, plant and equipment are derecognised when the asset is disposed of or when there are no further economic benefits or service potential expected from the use of the asset. The gain or loss arising on the disposal or retirement of an Item of property, plant and equipment is determined as the difference between the sales proceeds and the carrying value and is recognised in the Statement of Financial Performance.

3 intangible Assis

An asset is identified as an intengible asset when it:

- is capable of being separated or divided from an entity and sold, transferred, licensed, rented or exchanged, either Individually or together with a related contract, assets or liability; or
 - arises from contractual rights or other legal rights, regardless whether those rights are transferable or separate from the municipality or from other rights and obligations.

An intangible asset is recognised when:

- It is probable that the expected future economic benefits or service potential that are attributable to the asset will flow to the municipality; and
- the cost or fair value of the asset can be measured reliably.

inlangiole assets are initially recognised at cost. An inlangible asset acquired at no or nominal cost, the cost shall be its fair value as at the date of acquisition. Expenditure on research (or on the research phase of an internal project) is recognised as an expense when it is incurred. An intengible asset arising from development for from the development phase of an internal project) is recognised when:

- It is technically feasible to complete the asset so that it will be available for use or sale.
- there is an intention to complete and use or sell it.
- there is an ability to use or sell it.
 It will generate probable future economic benefits or service potential.
- there are available technical, financial and other resources to complete the development and to use or sell the asset.
- the expenditure attributable to the asset during its development can be measured reliably.

ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Intangible assets are carried at cost less any accumulated amortisation and any accumulated impairment losses.

An intangible asset is regarded as having an indefinite useful life when, based on all relevant factors, there is no foreseeable limit to the period over which the asset is expected to generate net cash inflows or service potential.

Amortisation is not provided for these intangible assets, but they are tested for impairment annually and whenever there is an Indication that the asset may be impaired. For all other Intangible assets amortisation is provided on a straight line basis over

The amortisation period and the amortisation method for intengible assets are reviewed at each reporting date.

Reassessing the useful life of an Intangible asset with a finite useful life after it was classified as indefinite is an indicator that the asset may be impaired. As a result the asset is tested for impairment and the remaining carrying amount is amortised over its useful life.

Expenditure, which enhances and extends the benefits of computer software programs beyond the original life of the software is capitalised. Computer software development costs recognised as assets are amortised using the straight line method over their useful lives. Costs associated with the maintenance of existing computer software programs are expensed as incurred.

Research and development expanditure is written off as incurred. Amortisation is provided to write down the intangible assets, on a straight line basis, to their residual values as follows:

Item Useful life

Computer software 2 - 8 years

The gain or loss is the difference between the net disposal proceeds, if any, and the carrying amount. It is recognised in surplus or deficit when the asset is derecognised.

investment property

Investment property is property (land or a building - or part of a building - or both) held to earn rentals or for capital appreciation or both, rather than for:

- use in the production or supply of goods or services or for
- administrative purposes, or
- sale in the ordinary course of operations.

Owner-occupied property is properly held for use in the production or supply of goods or services or for administrative

Investment property is recognised as an asset when, it is probable that the future economic benefits or service potential that are associated with the investment property will flow to the municipality, and the cost or fair value of the investment property can be measured reliably.

Investment property is initially recognised at cost. Transaction costs are included in the initial measurement.

Where investment properly is acquired at no cost or for a nominal cost, its cost is its fair value as at the date of acquisition.

Costs include costs incurred initially and costs incurred subsequently to add to, or to replace a part of, or service a property. If a replacement part is recognised in the carrying amount of the investment property, the carrying amount of the replaced part is derecognised.

Fair value Subsequent to initial measurement investment property is measured at fair value.

The fair value of investment property reflects market conditions at the reporting date.

A gain or loss arising from a change in fair value is included in net surplus or deficit for the period in which it arises.

If the municipality determines that the fair value of an investment property under construction is not reliably determinable but expects the fair value of the property to be reliably measurable when construction is complete, it measures that investment properly under construction at cost until either its fair value becomes reliably determinable or construction is completed (whichever is earlier). If the municipality determines that the fait value of an investment property (other than an investment properly under construction) is not reliably determinable on a continuing basis, the municipality measures that investment property using the cost model (as per the accounting policy on Property, plant and equipment). The residual value of the Investment property is then assumed to be zero. The municipality apply the cost model (as per the accounting policy on Property, plant and equipment) until disposal of the investment property.

ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Once the municipality becomes able to measure reliably the fair value of an investment property under construction that has previously been measured at cost, it measures that property at its fair value. Once construction of that property is complete, it is presumed that fair value can be measured reliably. If this is not the case, the property is accounted for using the cost model in accordance with the accounting policy on Property, plant and equipment.

4 FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The amortised cost of a financial asset or financial fiability is the amount at which the financial asset or financial liability is measured at initial recognition minus principal repayments, plus or minus the cumulative amortisation using the effective interest method of any difference between that initial amount and the maturity amount, and minus any reduction (directly or through the use of an allowance account) for impairment or uncollectibility.

Credit risk is the risk that one party to a financial instrument will cause a financial loss for the other party by failing to discharge an obligation.

Currency risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in foreign exchange rates.

Derecognition is the removal of a previously recognised financial asset or financial flability from an entity's statement of financial obstition.

The effective interest method is a method of calculating the amortised cost of a financial asset or a financial liability (or group of financial assets or financial liabilities) and of allocating the interest income or interest expense over the relevant period. The effective interest rate is the rate that exactly discounts estimated future cash payments or receipts through the expected life of the financial instrument or, when appropriate, a shorter period to the net carrying amount of the financial asset or financial liability. When calculating the effective interest rate, an entity shall estimate cash flows considering all contractual terms of the financial instrument (for example, prepayment, call and similar options) but shall not consider future credit losses. The calculation includes all fees and points paid or received between parties to the contract that are an integral part of the effective interest rate (see the Standard of GRAP on Revenue from Exchangs Transactions), transaction costs, and all other premiums or discounts. There is a presumption that the cash flows and the expected life of a group of similar financial instruments or the expected life of a financial instrument (or group of financial instruments), the entity shall use the contractual cash flows over the full contractual term of the financial instrument (or group of financial instruments).

Fair value is the amount for which an asset could be exchanged, or a liability settled, between knowledgeable willing parties in an arm's length transaction.

A financial asset is:

cash

a residual interest of another entity; or

a contractual right to:

-receive cash or another financial asset from another entity; or

exchange financial assets or financial flabilities with another entity under conditions that are potentially (avourable to the entity.

A financial guarantee contract is a contract that requires the issuer to make specified payments to relimburse the holder for a loss it incurs because a specified debtor fails to make payment when due in accordance with the original or modified terms of a debt instrument.

A financial liability is any liability that is a contractual obligation to:

deliver cash or another financial asset to another entity; or exchange financial assets or financial liabilities under conditions that are potentially unfavourable to the entity.

Interest rate risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market interest rates.

Liquidity risk is the risk encountered by an entity in the event of difficulty in meeting obligations associated with financial liabilities that are settled by delivering cash or another financial asset.

Loan commitment is a firm commitment to provide credit under pre-specified terms and conditions.

Loans payable are financial liabilities, other than short-term payables on normal credit terms.

Market risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market prices. Market risk comprises three types of risk: currency risk, interest rate risk and other price risk.

Other price risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market prices (other than those arising from interest rate risk or currency risk), whether those changes are caused by factors specific to the individual financial instrument or its issuer, or factors affecting all similar financial instruments traded in the market.

A financial asset is past due when a counterparty has falled to make a payment when contractually due.

Transaction costs are incremental costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition, issue or disposal of a financial asset or financial liability. An incremental cost is one that would not have been incurred if the entity had not acquired, issued or disposed of the financial instrument.

ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Financial instruments at amortised cost are non-derivative financial assets or non-derivative financial liabilities that have fixed or determinable payments, excluding those instruments that:

the entity designates at fair value at initial recognition; or are held for trading.

Financial instruments at cost are investments in residual interests that do not have a quoted market price in an active market, and whose fair value cannot be reliably measured.

Financial instruments at fair value comprise financial assets or financial liabilities that are:

combined instruments that are designated at fair value;

Instruments held for trading. A financial instrument is held for trading if:

- -It is acquired or incurred principally for the purpose of selling or repurchasing it in the near-term; or
- on initial recognition it is part of a portfolio of Identified financial Instruments that are managed together and for which there is evidence
 of a recent actual pattern of short term profit-taking;
- non-derivative financial assets or financial liabilities with fixed or determinable payments that are designated at fair value at initial recognition; and
- -financial instruments that do not meet the definition of financial instruments at amortised cost or financial instruments at cost.

Classification

1500

The entity has the following types of financial assets (classes and category) as reflected on the face of the statement of financial position or in the notes thereto:

.

Class Category

Cash and cash equivalents Financial asset measured at amortised cost

Trade and other receivables from non exchange

fransactions Financial asset measured at amortised cost Consumer Debtors Financial asset measured at amortised cost

Trade and other receivables from exchange

transactions Financial asset measured at amortised cost
Long term receivables Financial asset measured at amortised cost
Non current investment (shares) Financial asset measured at fair value

The entity has the following types of financial liabilities (classes and category) as reflected on the face of the statement of financial position or in the notes thereto:

Class Category

Borrowings Financial lability measured at amortised cost
Trade and other payables Financial lability measured at amortised cost
Consumer deposits Financial lability measured at fair value

initial recognition

The entity recognises a financial asset or a financial liability in its statement of financial position when the entity becomes a party to the contractual provisions of the instrument.

The entity recognises financial assets using trade date accounting. Initial measurement of financial assets and financial liabilities

The entity measures a financial asset and financial liability initially at its fair value plus transaction costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition or issue of the financial asset or financial liability.

The entity measures a financial asset and financial Fability initially at its fair value (if subsequently measured at fair value).

The entity first assesses whether the substance of a concessionary loan is in fact a loan. On initial recognition, the entity analyses a concessionary loan into its component parts and accounts for each component separately. The entity accounts for that part of a concessionary loan that is:

a social benefit in accordance with the Framework for the Preparation and Presentation of Financial Statements, where it is the issuer of the loan; or

non exchange revenue, in accordance with the Standard of GRAP on Revenue from Non exchange Transactions (Taxes and Transfers), where it is the recipient of the Ioan.

Subsequent measurement of financial assets and financial liabilities

The entity measures all financial assets and financial liabilities after initial recognition using the following categories:

Financial instruments at fair value.

Financial instruments at amortised cost.

Financial instruments at cost.

All financial assets measured at amortised cost, or cost, are subject to an impairment review.

ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fair value measurement considerations

The best evidence of fair value is quoted prices in an active market. If the market for a financial instrument is not active, the entity establishes fair value by using a valuation technique. The objective of using a valuation technique is to establish what the transaction price would have been on the measurement date in an arm's length exchange motivated by normal operating considerations. Valuation techniques include using recent arm's length market transactions between knowledgeable, willing parties, if available, reference to the current fair value of another instrument that is substantially the same, discounted cash flow analysis and option pricing models. If there is a valuation technique commonly used by market participants to price the instrument and that technique has been demonstrated to provide reliable estimates of prices obtained in actual market transactions, the entity uses that technique. The chosen valuation technique makes maximum use of market inputs and relies as little as possible on entity specific inputs. It incorporates all factors that market participants would consider in setting a price and is consistent with accepted economic methodologies for pricing financial instruments. Periodically, a municipality calibrates the valuation technique and tests it for validity using prices from any observable current market transactions in the same instrument (i.e. without modification or repackaging) or based on any available observable

The fair value of a financial liability with a demand feature (e.g. a demand deposit) is not less than the amount payable on demand, discounted from the first date that the amount could be required to be paid.

Reclassification

The entity does not reclassify a financial instrument while it is issued or held unless it is: combined instrument that is required to be measured at fair value; or an investment to a residual interest that meets the requirements for reclassification.

Where the entity cannot reliably measure the fair value of an embedded derivative that has been separated from a host contract that is a financial instrument at a subsequent reporting date, it measures the combined instrument at fair value. This requires a reclassification of the instrument from amortised cost or cost to fair value.

If fair value can no longer be measured reliably for an investment in a residual interest measured at fair value, the entity reclassifies the investment from fair value to cost. The carrying amount at the date that fair value is no longer available becomes the cost.

If a reliable measure becomes available for an investment in a residual interest for which a measure was previously not available, and the instrument would have been required to be measured at fair value, the entity reclassifies the instrument from cost to fair value.

Gains and losses

A gain or loss arising from a change in the fair value of a financial asset or financial fiability measured at fair value is recognised in surplus or deficit.

For financial assets and financial liabilities measured at amortised cost or cost, a gain or loss is recognised in surplus or deficit when the financial asset or financial liability is derecognised or impaired, or through the amortisation process.

Impairment and uncollectibility of financial assets

The entity assess at the end of each reporting period whether there is any objective evidence that a financial asset or group of financial assets is impaired.

Financial assets measured at amortised cost:

If there is objective evidence that an impairment loss on financial assets measured at amortised cost has been incurred, the amount of the loss is measured as the difference between the asset's carrying amount and the present value of estimated future cash flows (excluding future credit losses that have not been incurred) discounted at the financial asset's original effective interest rate. The carrying amount of the asset is reduced directly OR through the use of an allowance account. The amount of the loss is recognised in surplus or deficit.

if, in a subsequent period, the amount of the impairment loss decreases and the decrease can be related objectively to an event occurring after the impairment was recognised, the previously recognised impairment loss is reversed directly OR by adjusting an allowance account. The reversal does not result in a carrying amount of the financial asset that exceeds what the amortised cost would have been had the impairment not been recognised at the date the impairment is reversed. The amount of the reversal is recognised in surplus or deficit.

Financial assets measured at cost:

If there is objective evidence that an impairment loss has been incurred on an investment in a residual interest that is not measured at feir value because its fair value cannot be measured reliably, the amount of the impairment loss is measured as the difference between the carrying amount of the financial asset and the present value of estimated future cash flows discounted at the current market rate of return for a similar financial asset. Such impairment losses are not reversed.

ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Presentation

interest relating to a financial instrument or a component that is a financial liability is recognised as revenue or expense in surplus or deficit

Dividends or similar distributions relating to a financial instrument or a component that is a financial liability is recognised as revenue or expense in surplus or deficit.

Losses and gains relating to a financial instrument or a component that is a financial flability is recognised as revenue or expense in surplus or deficit.

A financial asset and a financial flability are only offset and the net amount presented in the statement of financial position when the entity currently has a legally enforceable right to set off the recognised amounts and intends either to settle on a net basis, or to realise the asset and settle the flability simultaneously.

In accounting for a transfer of a financial asset that does not qualify for derecognition, the entity does not offset the transferred asset and the associated liability.

5 UNAUTHORISED EXPENDITURE

Unauthorised expenditure is expanditure that has not been budgeted, expanditure that is not in terms of the conditions of an allocation received from another sphere of government, municipality or organ of state and expanditure in the form of a grant that is not permitted in terms of the Municipal Finance Management Act (Act No. 56 of 2003). Unauthorised expanditure is accounted for as an expanse in the Statement of Financial Performance and where recovered, it is subsequently accounted for as revenue in the Statement of Financial Performance.

a IRREGULAR EXPENDITURE

Irregular expenditure is expenditure that is contrary to the Municipal Finance Management Act (Act No. 58 of 2003, the Municipal Systems Act (Act No. 32 of 2000), the Public Office Bearers Act (Act No. 20 of 1998) or is in contravention of the Municipality's supply chain management policy. Irregular expenditure excludes unauthorised expenditure. Irregular expenditure is accounted for as expenditure in the Statement of Financial Performance and where recovered, it is subsequently accounted for as revenue in the Statement of Financial Performance.

FRUITLESS AND WASTEFUL EXPENDITURE

Fruilless and wasteful expenditure is expenditure that was made in valin and would have been avoided had reasonable care been exercised. Fruilless and wasteful expenditure is accounted for as expenditure in the Statement of Financial Performance and where recovered, it is subsequently accounted for as revenue in the Statement of Financial Performance.

8 PROVISIONS

Provisions are recognised when the municipality has a present or constructive obligation as a result of past events, it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation and a reliable estimate of the provision can be made. Provisions are reviewed at each balance sheet date and adjusted to reflect the current best estimate.

9 LEASES

Leases are classified as finance leases where substantially all the risks and rewards associated with ownership of an asset are transferred to the Municipality. Property, plant and equipment or intangible assets subject to finance lease agreements are initially recognised at the lower of the asset's fair value and the present value of the minimum lease payments. The corresponding liabilities are initially recognised at the inception of the lease and are measured as the sum of the minimum lease payments due in terms of the lease agreement, discounted for the effect of interest. In discounting the lease payments, the municipality uses the interest rate that exactly discounts the lease payments and unguaranteed residual value to the fair value of the asset plus any direct costs incurred.

Subsequent to initial recognition, the leased assets are accounted for in accordance with the stated accounting policies applicable to property, plant and equipment or intangibles. The lease tiability is reduced by the lease payments, which are allocated between the lease finance cost and the capital repayments using effective interest rate method. Lease finance costs are expensed when incurred . The accounting policies retailing to derecognition of financial instruments are applied to lease payables. The lease asset as depreciated over the shorter of the asset's useful life or the lease term.

Operating leases are those leases that do not fall within the scope of the above definition. Operating lease rentals are accrued on a straight-line basis over the term of the relevant lease.

Property, plant and equipment subject to finance lease agreements are capitalised at their cash equivalent and the corresponding liabilities are raised. The cost of the item of property, plant and equipment is depreciated at appropriate rates on the straight-line basis over its estimated useful life.

ACCOUNTING POLICIES

10 REVENUE RECOGNITION

10.1 Revenue from exchange transactions

Revenue from exchange transaction refers to revenue that accrued to the municipality directly in return for services rendered / goods sold, the value of which approximates the consideration received or receivable.

- 10.1.1 Revenue arising from application of the approved tariff of changes in recognised when the relevant service is rendered by applying the relevant authorised fariff. This includes the issuing of licenses and permits.
- 10.1.2

Service charges relating to electricity and water are based on consumption. Meters are read on a monthly basis and revenue is recognized when invoiced. Provisional estimates of consumption are made monthly when meter readings have not been performed and are based on the consumption history. The provisional estimates of consumption are recognized as revenue when invoiced. Adjustments to provisional estimates of consumption are made in the invoicing period when meters have been read. These adjustments are recognized as revenue in the invoicing period.

- 10.1.3 Service charges relating to solid waste, sanitation and sewerage are levied monthly in terms of the approved tariffs.
- 10.1.4 Interest earned on investments is recognised in the statement of financial performance on a time proportionate basis that takes into account the effective yield on the investment.
- 10.1.5 Dividends are recognized when the municipality's right to receive payment is established.
- 10.1.6 Revenue from the sale of goods is recognized when all the following condition has been satisfied:

The municipality has transferred to the buyer the significant risk and rewards of ownership of the goods.

The municipality retains neither continuing managerial involvement to the degree usually associated with ownership nor effective control over the goods sold.

The amount of revenue can be measured reliably.

It is probable that the economic benefits or service potential associated with the transaction will flow to the Municipality.

10.2 Revenue from non-exhange transactions

Revenue comprises gross inflows of economic benefits or service potential received and receivable by a municipality, which represents an increase in net assets, other than increases relating to contributions from owners.

Conditions on transferred assets are stipulations that specify that the future economic banefits or service potential embodied in the asset is required to be consumed by the recipient as specified or future economic benefits or service potential must be returned to the transferor.

Control of an asset arise when the municipality can use or otherwise benefit from the asset in pursuit of its objectives and can exclude or otherwise regulate the access of others to that benefit.

Fines are economic benefits or service potential received or receivable by entities, as determined by a court or other law enforcement body, as a consequence of the breach of laws or regulations.

Non exchange transactions are transactions that are not exchange transactions. In a non exchange transaction, a municipality either receives value from another municipality without directly giving approximately equal value in exchange, or gives value to another municipality without directly receiving approximately equal value in exchange.

Restrictions on transferred assets are stipulations that limit or direct the purposes for which a transferred asset may be used, but do not specify that future economic benefits or service potential is required to be returned to the transferor if not deployed as specified.

Slipulations on transferred assets are terms in laws or regulation, or a bloding arrangement, imposed upon the use of a transferred asset by entities external to the reporting municipality.

Taxes are economic benefits or service potential compulsority pald or payable to entitles, in accordance with laws and or regulations, established to provide revenue to government. Taxes do not include fines or other penalties imposed for breaches of the law.

Transfers are inflows of future economic benefits or service potential from non exchange transactions, other than taxes.

Recognition

An inflow of resources from a non exchange transaction recognised as an asset is recognised as revenue, except to the extent that a liability is also recognised in respect of the same inflow.

As the municipality satisfies a present obligation recognised as a liability in respect of an inflow of resources from a non exchange transaction recognised as an asset, it reduces the carrying amount of the flability recognised and recognises an amount of revenue equal to that reduction.

When, as a result of a non exchange transaction, the municipality recognises an asset, it also recognises revenue equivalent to the amount of the asset measured at its fair value as at the date of acquisition, unless it is also required to recognise a liability. Where a liability is required to be recognised it will be measured as the best estimate of the amount required to settle the obligation at the reporting date, and the amount of the increase in net assets, if any, recognised as revenue. When a liability is subsequently reduced, because the taxable event occurs or a condition is satisfied, the amount of the reduction in the tability is recognised as revenue.

ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Transfers

Apart from Services in kind, which are not recognised, the municipality recognises an asset in respect of transfers when the transferred resources meet the definition of an asset and satisfy the criteria for recognition as an asset.

The municipality recognises an asset in respect of transfers when the transferred resources meet the definition of an asset and satisfy the criteria for recognition as an asset.

Transferred assets are measured at their fair value as at the date of acquisition.

Fines

Fines are recognised as revenue when the receivable meets the definition of an asset and satisfies the criteria for recognition as an asset.

Assets arising from lines are measured at the best estimate of the inflow of resources to the municipality.

Where the municipality collects lines in the capacity of an agent, the fine will not be revenue of the collecting entity.

Bequests

Bequests that satisfy the definition of an asset are recognised as assets and revenue when it is probable that the future economic benefits or service potential will flow to the municipality, and the fair value of the assets can be measured reliably.

Gifts and donations, including goods in kind

Gifts and donations, including goods in kind, are recognised as assets and revenue when it is probable that the future economic benefits or service potential will flow to the municipality and the fair value of the assets can be measured reliably.

11 INVENTORIES

Inventories consist of raw materials, work in progress, consumables and finished goods, which are valued at the lower of cost, determined on the first in , first out method, and net realisable value. Where it is held for distribution or consumption at no charge or for a nominal amount, inventories are valued at the lower of cost and current replacement value.

Cost of inventories comprises all costs of purchase, cost of conversion and other costs incurred in bringing the inventories to their present location and condition. Redundant and slow moving inventories are identified and written down with regard to their cost. Consumables are written down according to their age, condition and utility.

Stands available for sale during the next 12 months are recognised as inventory.

12 CONDITIONAL GRANTS AND RECEIPTS

Revenue received from conditional grants, donations and funding are recognised as revenue to the extent that the Municipality has compiled with all of the criteria, conditions or obligations embodied in the agreement. To the extent that the criteria, conditions or obligations have not been met a liability is recognised. The liability is transferred to revenue as and when the conditions attached to the grants are met. Grants without any conditions attached are recognised as revenue when the asset's recognised.

13 SEGMENTAL INFORMATION

Segmental information on Property, Plant and Equipment as well as income and expenditure is set out in Appendix C and D based on the budget formats prescribed by National Treasury. The municipality operates solely in its area of jurisdiction as determined by the Demarcation Board.

14 VALUE ADDED TAX

The Municipality accounts for Value Added Tax on the payment basis.

15 EMPLOYEES BENEFITS

Employee benefits are all forms of consideration given by a municipality in exchange for service rendered by employees.

A qualifying insurance policy is an insurance policy issued by an insurer that is not a related party (as defined in the Standard of GRAP on Related Party Disclosures) of the reporting municipality, if the proceeds of the policy can be used only to pay or fund employee benefits under a defined benefit plan and are not available to the reporting municipality's own creditors (even in liquidation) and cannot be paid to the reporting municipality, unless either.

the proceeds represent surplus assets that are not needed for the policy to meet all the related employee benefit obligations; or the proceeds are returned to the reporting municipality to reimburse it for employee benefits already paid.

Termination benefits are employee benefits payable as a result of either.

an municipality's decision to terminate an employee's employment before the normal retirement date; or an employee's decision to accept voluntary redundancy in exchange for those benefits.

Other long term employee benefits are employee benefits (other than post employment benefits and termination benefits) that are not due to be settled within twelve months after the end of the period in which the employees render the related service.

Vested employee benefits are employee benefits that are not conditional on future employment.

ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Composite social security programmes are established by legislation and operate as multi employer plans to provide post employment benefits as well as to provide benefits that are not consideration in exchange for service rendered by employees.

A constructive obligation is an obligation that derives from an municipality's actions where by an established pattern of past practice, published policies or a sufficiently specific current statement, the municipality has indicated to other parties that it will accept certain responsibilities and as a result, the municipality has created a valid expectation on the part of those other parties that it will discharge those responsibilities.

Short term employee benefits

Short term employee benefits are employee benefits (other than termination benefits) that are due to be settled within twelve months after the end of the period in which the employees render the related service.

Short term employee benefits include items such as:

wages, salaries and social security contributions;

short term compensated absences (such as paid annual leave and paid sick leave) where the compensation for the absences is due to be settled within twelve months after the end of the reporting period in which the employees render the related employee service:

bonus, incentive and performance related payments payable within twelve months after the end of the reporting period in which the employees render the related service; and

non monetary benefits (for example, medical care, and free or subsidised goods or services such as housing, cars and cell phones) for current employees.

When an employee has rendered service to the entity during a reporting period, the entity recognise the undiscounted amount of short term employee benefits expected to be paid in exchange for that service;

as a liability (accrued expense), after deducting any amount already paid. If the amount already paid exceeds the undiscounted amount of the banefits, the municipality recognise that excess as an asset (prepaid expense) to the extent that the prepayment will lead to, for example, a reduction in future payments or a cash refund; and

as an expense, unless another Standard requires or permits the inclusion of the benefits in the cost of an asset.

The expected cost of compensated absences is recognised as an expense as the employees render services that increase their entitlement or, in the case of non accumulating absences, when the absence occurs. The municipality measure the expected cost of accumulating compensated absences as the additional amount that the entity expects to pay as a result of the unused entitlement that has accumulated at the reporting date.

The municipality recognises the expected cost of bonus, incentive and performance related payments when the municipality has a present legal or constructive obligation to make such payments as a result of past events and a reliable estimate of the obligation can be made. A present obligation exists when the entity has no realistic alternative but to make the payments.

Post employment benefits

Post employment benefits are employee benefits (other than termination benefits) which are payable after the completion of

Post employment benefit plans are formal or informal arrangements under which a municipality provides post employment benefits for one or more employees.

Post employment benefits: Defined contribution plans

Defined contribution plans are post employment benefit plans under which a municipality pays fixed contributions into a separate entity (a fund) and will have no legal or constructive obligation to pay further contributions if the fund does not hold sufficient assets to pay all employee benefits relating to employee service in the current and prior periods.

When an employee has rendered service to the municipality during a reporting period, the municipality recognise the contribution payable to a defined contribution plan in exchange for that service:

as a liability (accrued expense), after deducting any contribution already paid. If the contribution already paid exceeds the contribution due for service before the reporting date, a municipality recognise that excess as an asset (prepaid expense) to the extent that the prepayment will lead to, for example, a reduction in future payments or a cash refund; and as an expense, unless another Standard requires or permits the inclusion of the contribution in the cost of an asset.

Where contributions to a defined contribution plan do not fall due wholly within twalve months after the end of the reporting period in which the employees render the related service, they are discounted. The rate used to discount reflects the time value of money. The currency and term of the financial instrument selected to reflect the time value of money is consistent with the currency and estimated term of the obligation.

Post employment benefits: Defined benefit plans

Defined banefit plans are post employment benefit plans other than defined contribution plans.

Actuarial gains and losses comprise experience adjustments (the effects of differences between the previous actuarial assumptions and what has actually occurred) and the effects of changes in actuarial assumptions, in measuring its defined benefit liability the municipality recognise actuarial gains and losses in surplus or deficit in the reporting period in which they occur.

Assets held by a long term employee benefit fund are assets (other than non transferable financial instruments issued by the reporting municipality) that are held by a municipality (a fund) that is legally separate from the reporting municipality and exists solely to pay or fund employee benefits and are available to be used only to pay or fund employee benefits, are not available to the reporting municipality's own creditors (even in figuidation), and cannot be returned to the reporting municipality, unless either.

the remaining assets of the fund are sufficient to meet all the related employee benefit obligations of the plan or the reporting municipality; or

the assets are returned to the reporting municipality to reimburse it for employee benefits already paid.

Current service cost is the increase in the present value of the defined benefit obligation resulting from employee service in the current period.

ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Interest cost is the increase during a period in the present value of a defined benefit obligation which arises because the benefits are one period closer to settlement.

Past service cost is the change in the present value of the defined benefit obligation for employee service in prior periods, resulting in the current period from the introduction of, or changes to, post employment benefits or other long term employee benefits. Past service cost may be either positive (when benefits are introduced or changed so that the present value of the defined benefit obligation increases) or negative (when existing benefits are changed so that the present value of the defined benefit obligation decreases). In measuring its defined benefit liability the entity recognise past service cost as an expense in the reporting period in which the plan is amended.

Plan assets comprise assets held by a long term employee benefit fund and qualifying insurance policies.

The present value of a defined benefit obligation is the present value, without deducting any plan assets, of expected future payments required to settle the obligation resulting from employee service in the current and prior periods.

The return on plan assets is interest, dividends and other revenue derived from the plan assets, together with realised and unrealised gains or losses on the plan assets, less any costs of administering the plan (other than those included in the actuarial assumptions used to measure the defined benefit obligation) and less any tax payable by the plan itself.

The municipality accounts not only for its legal obligation under the formal terms of a defined benefit plan, but also for any constructive obligation that arises from the municipality's informal practices. Informal practices give rise to a constructive obligation where the municipality has no realistic alternative but to pay employee benefits. An example of a constructive obligation is where a change in the municipality's informal practices would cause unacceptable damage to its relationship with employees.

The amount recognised as a defined benefit liability is the net total of the following amounts:

the present value of the defined benefit obligation at the reporting date;

minus the fair value at the reporting date of plan assets (if any) out of which the obligations are to be settled directly; plus any liability that may arise as a result of a minimum funding requirement

The amount determined as a defined benefit liability may be negative (an asset). The municipality measure the resulting asset at the lawer of:

the amount determined above; and

the present value of any economic benefits available in the form of refunds from the plan or reductions in future contributions to the plan. The present value of these economic benefits is determined using a discount rate which reflects the time value of money.

Any adjustments arising from the limit above is recognised in surplus or deficit

The municipality determine the present value of defined benefit obligations and the fair value of any plan assets with sufficient regularity such that the amounts recognised in the financial statements do not differ materially from the amounts that would be determined at the reporting date.

The municipality recognises the net total of the following amounts in surplus or deficit, except to the extent that another Standard requires or permits their inclusion in the cost of an asset:

current service cost;

Interest cost;

the expected return on any plan assets and on any reimbursement rights;

actuarial gains and losses;

past service cost:

the effect of any curtailments or settlements; and

the effect of applying the limit on a defined benefit asset (negative defined benefit liability).

The municipality uses the Projected Unit Credit Method to determine the present value of its defined banefit obligations and the related current service cost and, where applicable, past service cost. The Projected Unit Credit Method (sometimes known as the accrued benefit method pro rated on service or as the benefit/years of service method) sees each period of service as giving rise to an additional unit of benefit entitlement and measures each unit separately to build up the final obligation.

In determining the present value of its defined benefit obligations and the related current service cost and, where applicable, past service cost, a municipality shall attribute benefit to periods of service under the plan's benefit formula. However, if an employee's service in later years will lead to a materially higher level of benefit than in earlier years, a municipality shall attribute benefit on a straight line basis from:

the date when service by the employee first leads to benefits under the plan (whether or not the benefits are conditional on further service); until

the date when further service by the employee will lead to no material amount of further benefits under the plan, other than from further salary increases.

Actuarial valuations are conducted on an annual basis by independent actuaries separately for each plan. The results of the valuation are updated for any material transactions and other material changes in circumstances (including changes in market prices and interest rates) up to the reporting date.

The municipality recognises gains or losses on the curtailment or settlement of a defined benefit plan when the curtailment or settlement occurs. The gain or loss on a curtailment or settlement comprises;

any resulting change in the present value of the defined benefit obligation; and

any resulting change in the fair value of the plan assets.

Before determining the effect of a curtailment or settlement, the municipality re measure the obligation (and the related plan assets, if any) using current actuarial assumptions (including current market interest rates and other current market prices).

When it is virtually certain that another party will reimburse some or all of the expenditure required to settle a defined benefit obligation, the right to reimbursement is recognised as a separate asset. The asset is measured at fair value, in all other respects, the asset is treated in the same way as plan assets. In surplus or deficit, the expense relating to a defined benefit plan is [OR is not] presented as the net of the amount recognised for a reimbursement.

ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The municipality offsets an asset relating to one plan against a liability relating to another plan when the municipality has a legally enforceable right to use a surplus in one plan to settle obligations under the other plan and intends either to settle the obligations on a net basis, or to realise the surplus in one plan and settle its obligation under the other plan simultaneously.

Actuarial assumptions

Actuarial assumptions are unbiased and mutually compatible.

Financial assumptions are based on market expectations, at the reporting date, for the period over which the obligations are to be settled

The rate used to discount post employment benefit obligations (both funded and unfunded) reflect the time value of money. The currency and lerm of the financial instrument selected to reflect the time value of money is consistent with the currency and estimated term of the post employment benefit obligations.

Post employment benefit obligations are measured on a basis that reflects:

estimated future salary increases;

the benefits set out in the terms of the plan (or resulting from any constructive obligation that goes beyond those terms) at the reporting date; and

estimated future changes in the level of any state benefits that affect the benefits payable under a defined benefit plan, if, and only if, either:

those changes were enacted before the reporting date; or

past history, or other reliable evidence, indicates that those state benefits will change in some predictable manner, for example, in line with future changes in general price levels or general salary levels.

Assumptions about medical costs take account of estimated future changes in the cost of medical services, resulting from both inflation and specific changes in medical costs.

16. CONTINGENCIES

Contingent assets and contingent liabilities are not recognised. Contingencies are disclosed in Note 42.

17 IMPAIRMENT OF CASH-GENERATING ASSETS

Cash-generating essets are those assets held by the municipality with the primary objective of generating a commercial return. When an asset is deployed in a manner consistent with that adopted by a profit-orientaled entity, it generates a commercial return.

impairment is a loss in the future economic benefits or service potential of an asset, over and above the systematic recognition of the loss of the asset's future economic benefits or service potential through depreciation (amortisation).

Carrying amount is the amount at which an asset is recognised in the statement of financial position after deducting any accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment losses thereon.

A cash-generating unit is the smallest identifiable group of assets held with the primary objective of generating a commercial return that generates cash inflows from continuing use that are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets or groups of assets.

Costs of disposal are incremental costs directly attributable to the disposal of an asset, excluding finance costs and income tax excepts

Degreciation (Amortisation) is the systematic allocation of the depreciable amount of an asset over its useful life.

Fair value less costs to selt is the amount obtainable from the sale of an asset in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable, willing parties, less the costs of disposal.

Recoverable amount of an asset or a cash-generaling unit is the higher its fair value less costs to sell and its value in use.

Useful life is either:

the period of time over which an asset is expected to be used by the municipality; or the number of production or similar units expected to be obtained from the asset by the municipality.

identification

When the carrying amount of a cash-generating asset exceeds its recoverable amount, it is impaired.

The municipatity assesses at each reporting date whether there is any indication that a cash-generating asset may be impaired, if any such indication exists, the municipality estimates the recoverable amount of the asset.

Value in use

Value in use of a cash-generating asset is the present value of the estimated future cash flows expected to be derived from the continuing use of an asset and from its disposal at the end of its useful life.

When estimating the value in use of an asset, the municipality estimates the future cash inflows and outflows to be derived from continuing use of the asset and from its ultimate disposal and the municipality applies the appropriate discount rate to those future cash flows.

Cash-generating units

If there is any indication that an asset may be impaired, the recoverable amount is estimated for the individual asset. If it is not possible to estimate the recoverable amount of the individual asset, the municipality determines the recoverable amount of the cash-generating unit to which the asset belongs (the asset's cash-generating unit).

ACCOUNTING POLICIES

if an active market exists for the output produced by an asset or group of assets, that asset or group of assets is identified as a cash-generating unit, even if some or all of the output is used internally. If the cash inflows generated by any asset or cash-generating unit are affected by internal transfer pricing, the municipality use management's best estimate of future price(s) that could be achieved In arm's length transactions in estimating:
the future cash inflows used to determine the asset's or cash-generating unit's value in use; and

the future cash outflows used to determine the value in use of any other assets or cash-generating units that are affected by the internal transfer pricing.

Cash-generating units are identified consistently from period to period for the same asset or types of assets, unless a change is

The carrying amount of a cash-generaling unit is determined on a basis consistent with the way the recoverable amount of the cash-generating unit is determined.

An impairment loss is recognised for a cash-generating unit if the recoverable amount of the unit is less than the carrying amount of the unit. The impairment is allocated to reduce the carrying amount of the cash-generating assets of the unit on a pro rata basis, based on the carrying amount of each asset in the unit. These reductions in carrying amounts are treated as Impalment losses on individual

In allocating an impairment loss, the entity does not reduce the carrying amount of an asset below the highest of:

its fair value less costs to sell (if determinable);

its value in use (if determinable); and

The amount of the impairment loss that would otherwise have been allocated to the asset is allocated prorate to the other cash-penerating assets of the unit.

Where a non-cash-generating asset contributes to a cash-generating unit, a proportion of the carrying amount of that non-cash-generating asset is allocated to the carrying amount of the cash-generating unit prior to estimation of the recoverable amount of the cash-generating unit.

Reversal of impairment loss

An impairment loss recognised in prior periods for a cash-generating asset is reversed if there has been a change in the estimates used to determine the asset's recoverable amount since the last impairment loss was recognised. The carrying amount of the asset is Increased to its recoverable amount. The increase is a reversal of an impairment loss. The increased carrying amount of an asset attributable to a reversal of an impairment loss does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined (net of depreciation or amortisation) had no impairment loss been recognised for the asset in prior periods.

A reversal of an Impairment loss for a cash-generating asset is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit

Any reversal of an impairment loss of a revalued cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation increase.

After a reversal of an impairment loss is recognised, the depreciation (amortisation) charge for the cash-generating asset is adjusted in future periods to allocate the cash-generating asset's revised carrying amount, less its residual value (if any), on a systematic basis over its remaining useful life.

A reversal of an impairment loss for a cash-generating unit is allocated to the cash-generating assets of the unit pro rata with the carrying amounts of those assets. These increases in carrying amounts are treated as reversals of impairment losses for individual assets. No part of the amount of such a reversal is allocated to a non-cash-generating asset contributing service potential to a

in allocating a reversal of an impairment loss for a cash-generaling unit, the carrying amount of an asset is not increased above the lower of:

its recoverable amount (if determinable); and

the carrying amount that would have been determined (net of amortisation or depreciation) had no impalment loss been recognised for the asset in prior periods.

The amount of the reversal of the impairment loss that would otherwise have been allocated to the asset is allocated pro rata to the other essets of the unit.

impairment of non-cash-generaling assets

Cash-generating assets are those assets held by the municipality with the primary objective of generating a commercial return. When an asset is deployed in a manner consistent with that adopted by a profit-orientaled entity, it generates a commercial return.

Non-cash-generating assets are assets other than cash-generating assets.

Impalment is a loss in the future economic benefits or service potential of an asset, over and above the systematic recognition of the loss of the asset's future economic benefits or service potential through depreciation (amortisation).

Carrying amount is the amount at which an asset is recognised in the statement of financial position after deducting any accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment losses thereon.

A cash-generating unit is the smallest identifiable group of assets held with the primary objective of generaling a commercial return that generates cash inflows from continuing use that are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets or groups of assets.

Costs of disposal are incremental costs directly attribulable to the disposal of an asset, excluding finance costs and income tax

Degreciation (Amortisation) is the systematic allocation of the depreciable amount of an asset over its useful life.

Fair value less costs to sell is the amount obtainable from the sale of an asset in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable, willing parties, less the costs of disposal.

ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Recoverable service amount is the higher of a non-cash-generating asset's fair value less costs to self and its value in use,

Useful life is either.

the period of time over which an asset is expected to be used by the municipality; or

the number of production or similar units expected to be obtained from the asset by the municipality.

Identification

When the carrying amount of a non-cash-generating asset exceeds its recoverable service amount, it is impaired.

The municiparty assesses at each reporting date whether there is any indication that a non-cash-generating asset may be impaired. If any such indication exists, the municipality estimates the recoverable service amount of the asset.

Value in use

Value in use of an asset is the present value of the asset's remaining service potential.

The present value of the remaining service potential of an asset is determined using the following approach:

Depreciated replacement cost approach

The present value of the remaining service potential of a non-cash-generating asset is determined as the depreciated replacement cost of the asset. The replacement cost of an asset is the cost to replace the asset's gross service potential. This cost is depreciated to reflect the asset in its used condition. An asset may be replaced either through reproduction (replication) of the existing asset or through replacement of its gross service potential. The depreciated replacement cost is measured as the reproduction or replacement cost of the asset, whichever is lower, less accumulated depreciation calculated on the basis of such cost, to reflect the already consumed or expired service potential of the asset.

The replacement cost and reproduction cost of an asset is determined on an "optimised" basis. The rationale is that the municipality would not replace or reproduce the asset with a like asset if the asset to be replaced or reproduced is an overdesigned or overcapacity asset. Overdesigned assets contain features which are unnecessary for the goods or services the asset provides. Overcapacity assets are assets that have a greater capacity than is necessary to meet the demand for goods or services the asset provides. The determination of the replacement cost or reproduction cost of an asset on an optimised basis thus reflects the service potential required of the asset.

Restoration cost approach

Restoration cost is the cost of restoring the service potential of an asset to its pre-impaired level. The present value of the remaining service potential of the asset is determined by subtracting the estimated restoration cost of the asset from the current cost of replacing the remaining service potential of the asset before impairment. The latter cost is determined as the depreciated reproduction or replacement cost of the asset, whichever is lower.

Service units approach

The present value of the remaining service potential of the asset is determined by reducing the current cost of the remaining service potential of the asset before impairment, to conform to the reduced number of service units expected from the asset in its impaired state. The current cost of replacing the remaining service potential of the asset before impairment is determined as the depreciated reproduction or replacement cost of the asset before impairment, whichever is lower.

Recognition and measurement

If the recoverable service amount of a non-cash-generating asset is less than its carrying amount, the carrying amount of the asset is reduced to its recoverable service amount. This reduction is an impairment loss.

An impairment loss is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit.

Any impairment loss of a revalued non-cash-generaling asset is treated as a revaluation decrease.

When the amount estimated for an impairment loss is greater than the carrying amount of the non-cash-generating asset to which it relates, the municipality recognises a liability only to the extent that is a requirement in the Standards of GRAP.

After the recognition of an impairment loss, the depreciation (amortisation) charge for the non-cash-generating asset is adjusted in future periods to allocate the non-cash-generating asset's revised carrying amount, less its residual value (if any), on a systematic basis over its remaining useful life.

Reversal of an Impairment loss

The municipality assess at each reporting date whether there is any indication that an impairment loss recognised in prior periods for a non-cash-generating asset may no longer exist or may have decreased. If any such indication exists, the municipality estimates the recoverable service amount of that asset.

An impairment loss recognised in prior periods for a non-cash-generating asset is reversed if there has been a change in the estimates used to determine the asset's recoverable service amount since the last impairment loss was recognised. The carrying amount of the asset is increased to its recoverable service amount. The increase is a reversal of an impairment loss. The increased carrying amount of an asset attributable to a reversal of an impairment loss does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined (net of depreciation or amortisation) had no impairment loss been recognised for the asset in prior periods.

A reversal of an impairment loss for a non-cash-generating asset is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit.

ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Any reversal of an impairment loss of a revalued non-cash-generaling asset is treated as a revaluation increase.

After a reversal of an impairment loss is recognised, the depreciation (amortisation) charge for the non-cash-generating asset is adjusted in future periods to allocate the non-cash-generating asset's revised carrying amount, less its residual value (if any), on a systematic basis over its remaining useful life.

Redesignation

The redesignation of assets from a cash-generating asset to a non-cash-generating asset or from a non-cash-generating asset to a cash-generating asset only occur when there is clear evidence that such a redesignation is appropriate.

NEW STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS

Standards and interpretations issued, but not yet effective

The municipality has not applied the following standards and interpretations, which have been published and are mandalory for the municipality's accounting periods beginning on or after 01 July 2012 or later periods:

GRAP 18: Segment Reporting

Segments are identified by the way in which information is reported to management, both for purposes of assessing performance and making decisions about how future resources will be allocated to the various activities undertaken by the municipality. The major classifications of activities identified in budget documentation will usually reflect the segments for which an entity reports information to management.

Segment information is either presented based on service or geographical segments. Service segments relate to a distinguishable component of an entity that provides specific outputs or achieves particular operating objectives that are in line with the municipality's overall mission. Geographical segments relate to specific outputs generated, or particular objectives achieved, by an entity within a particular region.

This Standard has been approved by the Board but its effective date has not yet been determined by the Minister of Finance. The effective date indicated is a provisional date and could change depending on the decision of the Minister of Finance.

The effective date of the standard is for years beginning on or after 01 April 2013.

The municipality expects to adopt the standard for the first time in the 2014 financial statements. It is unlikely that the standard will have a material impact on the municipality's financial statements.

GRAP 23: Revenue from Non-exchange Transactions

Revenue from non-exchange transactions arises when an entity receives value from another entity without directly giving approximately equal value in exchange. An asset acquired through a non-exchange transaction shall initially be measured at its fair value as at the date of acquisition.

This revenue will be measured at the amount of increase in net assets recognised by the municipality.

An inflow of resources from a non-exchange transaction recognised as an asset shall be recognised as revenue, except to the extent that a liability is recognised for the same inflow. As an entity satisfies a present obligation recognised as a liability in respect of an inflow of resources from a non-exchange transaction recognised as an asset, it will reduce the carrying amount of the liability recognised as recognise an amount equal to that reduction.

This Standard has been approved by the Board but its effective date has not yet been determined by the Minister of Finance. The effective date Indicated is a provisional date and could change depending on the decision of the Minister of Finance.

The effective date of the standard is for years beginning on or after 01 April 2012.

The municipality expects to adopt the standard for the first time in the 2013 financial statements.

It is unlikely that the standard will have a material impact on the municipality's financial statements.

GRAP 24: Presentation of Budget Information in the Financial Statements

Subject to the requirements of paragraph .19, an entity shall present a comparison of the budget amounts for which it is held publicly accountable and actual amounts either as a separate additional financial statement or as additional budget columns in the financial statements currently presented in accordance with Standards of GRAP. The comparison of budget and actual amounts shall present separately for each level of legislative oversight:

the approved and final budget amounts;

the actual amounts on a comparable basis; and

by way of note disclosure, an explanation of material differences between the budget for which the municipality is held publicly accountable and actual amounts, unless such explanation is included in other public documents issued

in conjunction with the financial statements, and a cross reference to those documents is made in the notes. Where an entity prepares its budget and imancial statements on a comparable basis, it includes the companson as an additional column in the primary financial statements. Where the budget and financial statements are not prepared on a comparable basis, a separate statement is prepared called the 'Statement of Comparison of Budget and Actual Amounts'. This statement compares the budget amounts with the amounts in the financial statements adjusted to be comparable to the budget.

A comparable basis means that the budget and financial statements:

are prepared using the same basis of accounting i.e. either cash or accrual;

include the same activities and entities;

use the same classification system; and

are prepared for the same period.

This Standard has been approved by the Board but its effective date has not yet been determined by the Minister of Finance. The effective date indicated is a provisional date and could change depending on the decision of the Minister of Finance.

The effective date of the standard is for years beginning on or after 01 April 2012.

The municipality expects to adopt the standard for the first time in the 2013 financial statements.

NEW STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS

It is unlikely that the standard will have a material impact on the municipality's financial statements.

GRAP 103: Heritage Assets

GRAP 103 defines heritage assets as assets which have a cultural, environmental, historical, natural, scientific, technological or artistic significance and are held indefinitely for the benefit of present and future generations.

Certain heritage assets are described as inalienable items thus assets which are retained indefinitely and cannot be disposed of without consent as required by law or otherwise.

A heritage asset should be recognised as an asset only if:

it is probable that future economic benefits or service potential associated with the asset will to the municipality; and

the cost of fair value of the asset can be measured reliably.

The standard required judgment in applying the initial recognition criteria to the specific circumstances surrounding the entity and the assets.

GRAP 103 states that a heritage asset should be measured at its cost unless it is acquired through a non-exchange transaction which should then be measured at its fair value as at the date of acquisition.

In terms of the standard, an entity has a choice between the cost and revaluation model as accounting policy for subsequent recognition and should apply the chosen policy to an entire class of heritage assets.

The cost model requires a class of heritage assets to be carried at its cost less any accumulated impairment losses. The revaluation model required a class of heritage assets to be carried at its fair value at the date of the revaluation less any subsequent impairment losses. The standard also states that a restriction on the disposal of a heritage asset does not preclude the entity from determining the fair value.

GRAP 103 prescribes that when determining the fair value of a heritage asset that has more than one purpose, the fair value should reflect both the asset's heritage value and the value obtained from its use in the production or supply of goods or services or for administrative purposes.

If a heritage asset's carrying amount is increased as a result of a revaluation, the increase should be credited directly to a revaluation surplus. However, the increase should be recognised in surplus or deficit to the extent that it reverses a revaluation decrease of the same heritage asset previously recognised in surplus or deficit. If a heritage asset's carrying amount is decreased as a result of a revaluation, the decrease should be recognised in surplus or deficit. However, the decrease should be debited directly to a revaluation surplus to the extent of any credit balance existing in the revaluation surplus in respect of that heritage asset.

GRAP 103 states that a heritage asset should not be depreciated but an entity should assess at each reporting date whether there is an indication that it may be impaired.

In terms of the standard, compensation from third parties for heritage assets that have been impaired, lost or given up, should be included in surplus or deficit when the compensation becomes receivable.

For a transfer from heritage assets carried at a revalued amount to property, plant and equipment, investment property, inventories or intangible assets, the asset's deemed cost for subsequent accounting should be its revalued amount at the date of transfer. The entity should treat any difference at that date between the carrying amount of the heritage asset and its fair value in the same way as a revaluation in accordance with this Standard. If an item of property, plant and equipment or an Intencible asset carried at a revalued amount, or investment property carried at fair value is reclassified as a heritage asset carried at a revalued amount, the entity applies the applicable Standard of GRAP to that asset up to the date of change. The entity treats any difference at that date between the carrying amount of the asset and its fair value in accordance with the applicable Standard of GRAP relating to that asset. For a transfer from investment property carried at fair value, or inventories to heritage assets at a revalued amount, any difference between the fair value of the asset at that date and its previous carrying amount should be recognised in surplus or deficit.

The carrying amount of a heritage asset should be derecognised:

when no future economic benefits or service potential are expected from its use or disposal.

The gain or loss arising from the derecognition of a heritage asset should be determined as the difference between the net disposal proceeds, if any, and the carrying amount of the heritage asset. Such difference is recognised in surplus or deficit when the heritage asset is derecognised.

The effective date of the standard is for years beginning on or after 01 April 2012.

The municipality expects to adopt the standard for the first time in the 2013 financial statements.

It is unlikely that the standard will have a material impact on the municipality's financial statements,

GRAP 21: Impairment of non-cash-generating assets

Non-cash-generating assets are assets other than cash-generating assets.

When the carrying amount of a non-cash-generating asset exceeds its recoverable service amount, it is impaired. An municipality assesses at each reporting date whether there is any Indication that a non-cash-generating asset may be impaired. If any such indication exists, an entity estimates the recoverable service amount of the asset.

The present value of the remaining service potential of a non-cash-generating asset is determined using one of the following approaches:

Depreclated replacement cost approach

NEW STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS

Restoration cost approach

Service units approach

asset is reduced to its recoverable service amount. This reduction is an impairment loss. An impairment loss is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit. Any impairment loss of a revalued non-cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation decrease.

An municipality assess at each reporting date whether there is any indication that an impairment loss recognised in prior periods for a non-cash-generating asset may no longer exist or may have decreased. If any such indication exists, an entity estimates the recoverable service amount of that asset.

A reversal of an impairment loss for a non-cash-generaling asset is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit. Any reversal of an impairment loss of a revalued non-cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation increase.

This Standard has been approved by the Board but its effective date has not yet been determined by the Minister of Finance. The effective date indicated is a provisional date and could change depending on the decision of the Minister of Finance.

The effective date of the standard is for years beginning on or after 01 April 2012.

The municipality expects to adopt the standard for the first time in the 2013 financial statements. It is unlikely that the standard will have a material impact on the municipality's financial statements.

GRAP 26: Impairment of cash-generating assets

Cash-generating assets are those assets held by an municipality with the primary objective of generating a commercial return. When an asset is deployed in a manner consistent with that adopted by a profit-orientated entity, it generates a commercial return.

When the carrying amount of a cash-generating asset exceeds its recoverable amount, it is impaired.

An entity assesses at each reporting date whether there is any indication that a cash-generating asset may be impaired. If any such indication exists, an municipality estimates the recoverable amount of the asset. When estimating the value in use of an asset, an municipality estimates the future cash inflows and outflows to be derived from continuing use of the asset and from its ultimate disposal and an municipality applies the appropriate discount rate to those future cash flows.

If the recoverable amount of a cash-generating asset is less than its carrying amount, the carrying amount of the asset is reduced to its recoverable amount. This reduction is an impairment loss. An impairment loss is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit. Any impairment loss of a revalued cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation decrease.

If there is any indication that an asset may be impaired, the recoverable amount is estimated for the individual asset. If it is not possible to estimate the recoverable amount of the individual asset, an municipality determines the recoverable amount of the cash-generating unit to which the asset belongs (the asset's cash-generating unit).

If an active market exists for the output produced by an asset or group of assets, that asset or group of assets is identified as a cash-generating unit, even if some or all of the output is used internally. If the cash inflows generated by any asset or cash-generating unit are affected by internal transfer pricing, an entity use management's best estimate of future price(s) that could be achieved in arm's length transactions in estimating:

the future cash inflows used to determine the asset's or cash-generating unit's value in use; and the future cash outflows used to determine the value in use of any other assets or cash-generating units that are affected by the internal transfer pricing.

Cash-generating units are identified consistently from period to period for the same asset or types of assets, unless a change is justified.

An impairment loss is recognised for a cash-generating unit if the recoverable amount of the unit is less than the carrying amount of the unit. The impairment is allocated to reduce the carrying amount of the cash-generating assets of the unit on a pro rate basis, based on the carrying amount of each asset in the unit. These reductions in carrying amounts are treated as impairment losses on individual assets.

Where a non-cash-generating asset contributes to a cash-generating unit, a proportion of the carrying amount of that non-cash-generating asset is allocated to the carrying amount of the cash-generating unit prior to estimation of the recoverable amount of the cash-generating unit.

An municipality assess at each reporting date whether there is any indication that an impairment loss recognised in prior periods for a cash-generating asset may no longer exist or may have decreased. If any such indication exists, an municipality estimates the recoverable amount of that asset.

A reversal of an impairment loss for a cash-generating asset is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit. Any reversal of an impairment loss of a revalued cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation increase.

This Standard has been approved by the Board but its effective date has not yet been determined by the Minister of Finance. The effective date indicated is a provisional date and could change depending on the decision of the Minister of Finance.

The effective date of the standard is for years beginning on or after 01 April 2012.

The municipality expects to adopt the standard for the first time in the 2013 financial statements. It is unlikely that the standard will have a material impact on the municipality's financial statements.

GRAP 25: Employee benefits

The objective of GRAP25 is to prescribe the accounting and disclosure for employee benefits. The Standard requires an municipality to recognise:

a liability when an employee has provided service in exchange for employee benefits to be paid in the future; and

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2012

NEW STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS

an expense when an municipality consumes the economic benefits or service potential arising from service provided by an employee in exchange for employee benefits.

GRAP25 must be applied by an employer in accounting for all employee benefits, except share based payment transactions.

GRAP25 defines, amongst others, the following:

Employee benefits as all forms of consideration given by an municipality in exchange for service rendered by employees;

Defined contribution plans as post-employment benefit plans under which an municipality pays fixed contributions into a separate entity (a fund) and will have no legal or constructive obligation to pay further contributions if the fund does not hold sufficient assets to pay all employee benefits relating to employee service in the current and prior

Defined benefit plans as post-employment benefit plans other than defined contribution plans;

Multi-employer plans as defined contribution plans (other than state plans and composite social security programmes) or defined benefit plans (other than state plans) that:

- -pool the assets contributed by various entities that are not under common control; and
- "use those assets to provide benefits to employees of more than one entity, on the basis that contribution and benefit levels are determined without regard to the identity of the municipality that employees concerned;

Other long-term employee benefits as employee benefits (other than post-employment benefits and termination benefits) that is not due to be settled within twelve months after the end of the period in which the employees render the related service:

Post-employment benefits as employee benefits (other than termination benefits) which are payable after the completion of employment;

Post-employment benefit plans as formal or informal arrangements under which an municipality provides post-employment benefits for one or more employees;

Short-term employee benefits as employee benefits (other than termination benefits) that are due to be settled within twelve months after the end of the period in which the employees render the related service;

State plans as plans other than composite social security programmes established by legislation which operate as if they are multi-employer plans for all entities in economic categories laid down in legislation;

Termination benefits as employee benefits payable as a result of either:

- -an entity's decision to terminate an employee's employment before the normal retirement date; or
- -an employee's decision to accept voluntary redundancy in exchange for those benefits;

Vested employee benefits as employee benefits that are not conditional on future employment.

The standard states the recognition, measurement and disclosure requirements of:

Short-term employee benefits;

- -All short-term employee benefits;
- Short-term compensated absences;
- -Bonus, incentive and performance related payments;

Post-employment benefits: Defined contribution plans;

Other long-term employee benefits;

Termination benefits.

The standard states Post-employment benefits: Distinction between defined contribution plans and defined benefit plans:

Multi-employer plans;

Defined benefit plans where the participating entities are under common control;

State plans;

Composite social security programmes;

insured benefits.

The standard states, for Post-employment benefits: Defined benefit plans, the following requirements:

Recognition and measurement;

Presentation;

Disclosure:

Accounting for the constructive obligation;

Statement of financial position;

Asset recognition ceiling;

Asset recognition ceiling: When a minimum funding requirement may give rise to a liability;

Statement of financial performance.

The standard prescribes recognition and measurement for:

Present value of defined benefit obligations and current service cost:

- Actuarial valuation method;
- -Attributing benefits to periods of service;
- -Actuarial assumptions;
- -Actuarial assumptions: Discount rate;

NEW STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS

- -Actuarial assumptions: Salaries, benefits and medical costs;
- -Actuarial gains and losses;
- -Past service cost.

Plan assets:

- -Fair value of plan assets;
- -Reimbursements;
- -Return on plan assets.

The standard also deals with Entity combinations and Curtailments and settlements.

This Standard has been approved by the Board but its effective date has not yet been determined by the Minister of Finance. The effective date indicated is a provisional date and could change depending on the decision of the Minister of Finance.

The effective date of the standard is for years beginning on or after 01 April 2013.

The municipality expects to adopt the standard for the first time in the 2014 financial statements.

The impact of this standard is currently being assessed.

GRAP 104: Financial instruments

The standard prescribes recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure requirements for financial instruments. Financial instruments are defined as those contracts that results in a financial asset in one municipality and a financial liability or residual interest in another municipality. A key distinguishing factor between financial assets and financial liabilities and other assets and liabilities, is that they are settled in cash or by exchanging financial instruments rather than through the provision of goods or services.

One of the key considerations in initially recognising financial instruments is the distinction, by the issuers of those instruments, between financial assets, financial liabilities and residual interests. Financial assets and financial liabilities are distinguished from residual interests because they involve a contractual right or obligation to receive or pay cash or another financial instrument. Residual interests entitle an municipality to a portion of another municipality's net assets in the event of liquidation and, to dividends or similar distributions paid at management's discretion.

In determining whether a financial instrument is a financial asset, financial liability or a residual interest, an municipality considers the substance of the contract and not just the legal form.

Where a single instrument contains both a liability and a residual interest component, the issuer allocates the instrument into lis component parts. The issuer recognises the liability component at its fair value and recognises the residual interest as the difference between the carrying amount of the instrument and the fair value of the liability component. No gain or loss is recognised by separating the instrument into its component parts.

Financial assets and financial liabilities are initially recognised at fair value. Where an municipality subsequently measures financial assets and financial liabilities at amortised cost or cost, transactions costs are included in the cost of the asset or

The transaction price usually equals the fair value at initial recognition, except in certain circumstances, for example, where interest free credit is granted or where credit is granted at a below market rate of interest.

Concessionary loans are loans either received by or granted to another municipality on concessionary terms, e.g. at low interest rates and flexible repayment terms. On Initial recognition, the fair value of a concessionary loan is the present value of the agreed contractual cash flows, discounted using a market related rate of interest for a similar transaction. The difference between the proceeds either received or paid and the present value of the contractual cash flows is accounted for as non-exchange revenue by the recipient of a concessionary loan in accordance with Standard of GRAP on Revenue from Non-exchange Revenue Transactions (Taxes and Transfers), and using the Framework for the Preparation and Presentation of Financial Statements (usually as an expense) by the grantor of the loan.

Financial assets and financial liabilities are subsequently measured either at fair value or, amortised cost or cost. An municipality measures a financial instrument at fair value if it is:

a derivative;

a combined instrument designated at fair value, i.e. an instrument that includes a derivative and a non-derivative host contract;

held-for-trading;

a non-derivative instrument with fixed or determinable payments that is designated at initial recognition to be measured at fair value;

an investment in a residual interest for which fair value can be measured reliably; and

other instruments that do not meet the definition of financial instruments at amortised cost or cost.

Derivatives are measured at fair value. Combined instruments that include a derivative and non-derivative host contract are accounted for as follows:

Where an embedded derivative is included in a host contract which is a financial instrument within the scope of this Standard, an entity can designate the entire contract to be measured at fair value or, it can account for the host contract and embedded derivative separately using GRAP 104. An municipality is however required to measure the entire instrument at fair value if the fair value of the derivative cannot be measured reliably.

Where the host contract is not a financial instrument within the scope of this Standard, the host contract and embedded derivative are accounted for separately using GRAP 104 and the relevant Standard of GRAP.

NEW STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS

Financial assets and financial liabilities that are non-derivative instruments with fixed or determinable payments, for example deposits with banks, receivables and payables, are measured at amortised cost. At initial recognition, an municipality can however designate such an instrument to be measured at fair value.

An municipality can only measure investments in residual interests at cost where the fair value of the interest cannot be determined reliably.

Once an municipality has classified a financial asset or a financial liability either at fair value or amortised cost or cost, it is only allowed to reclassify such instruments in limited instances.

An entity derecognises a financial asset, or the specifically identified cash flows of an asset, when:

the cash flows from the asset expire, are settled or waived;

significant risks and rewards are transferred to another party; or

despite having retained significant risks and rewards, an municipality has transferred control of the asset to another municipality.

An municipality derecognises a financial liability when the obligation is extinguished. Exchanges of debt instruments between a borrower and a lender are treated as the extinguishment of an existing liability and the recognition of a new financial liability. Where an municipality modifies the term of an existing financial liability, it is also treated as the extinguishment of an existing liability and the recognition of a new liability.

An municipality cannot offset financial assets and financial liabilities in the statement of financial position unless a legal right of set-off exists, and the parties intend to settle on a net basis.

GRAP 104 requires extensive disclosures on the significance of financial instruments for an municipality's statement of financial position and statement of financial performance, as well as the nature and extent of the risks that an municipality is exposed to as a result of its financial statements. Some disclosures, for example the disclosure of fair values for instruments measured at amortised cost or cost and the preparation of a sensitivity analysis, are encouraged rather than required. GRAP 104 does not prescribe principles for hedge accounting. An municipality is permitted to apply hedge accounting, as long as the principles in IAS 39 are applied.

This Standard has been approved by the Board but its effective date has not yet been determined by the Minister of Finance. The effective date indicated is a provisional date and could change depending on the decision of the Minister of Finance.

The affective date of the standard is for years beginning on or after 01 April 2012,

The municipality expects to adopt the standard for the first time in the 2013 financial statements.

The impact of this amendment is currently being assessed.

IGRAP 7: The Limit on a Defined Benefit Asset, Minimum Funding Requirements and their Interaction

This Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP applies to all post-employment defined benefits and other long-term employee defined benefits.

For the purpose of this interpretation of the Standards of GRAP, minimum funding requirements are any requirements to fund a post-employment or other long-term defined benefit plan.

The issues addressed in this interpretation of the Standards of GRAP are:

When refunds or reductions in future contributions should be regarded as available in accordance with paragraph .68 of the Standard of GRAP on Employee Benefits.

How a minimum funding requirement might affect the availability of reductions in future contributions

The Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP addresses accounting by the entity that grants award credits to its customers.

The effective date of the interpretation is for years beginning on or after 01 April 2013.

The municipality expects to adopt the interpretation for the first time in the 2014 financial statements.

The municipality is unable to reliably estimate the impact of the amendment on the financial statements.

GRAP 105: Transfers of functions between entitles under common control

The objective of this Standard is to establish accounting principles for the acquirer and transferor in a transfer of functions between entitles under common control. It requires an acquirer and a transferor that prepares and presents financial statements under the accrual basis of accounting to apply this Standard to a transaction or event that meets the definition of a transfer of functions. It includes a diagram and requires that entities consider the diagram in determining whether this Standard should be applied in accounting for a transaction or event that involves a transfer of functions or merger. It furthermore covers Definitions, identifying the acquirer and transferor, Determining the transfer date, Assets acquired or transferred and liabilities assumed or relinquished, Accounting by the acquirer and transferor, Disclosure, Transitional provisions as well as the Effective date of the standard.

The effective date of the standard is for years beginning on or after 01 April 2014.

The municipality expects to adopt the standard for the first time in the 2015 financial statements.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's financial statements.

GRAP 106: Transfers of functions between entities not under common control

NEW STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS

The objective of this Standard is to establish accounting principles for the acquirer in a transfer of functions between entities not under common control. It requires an entity that prepares and presents financial statements under the accrual basis of accounting to apply this Standard to a transaction or other event that meets the definition of a transfer of functions. It includes a diagram and requires that entities consider the diagram in determining whether this Standard should be applied in accounting for a transaction or event that involves a transfer of functions or merger.

It furthermore covers Definitions, Identifying a transfer of functions between entities not under common control, The acquisition method, Recognising and measuring the difference between the assets acquired and liabilities assumed and the consideration transferred, Measurement period, Determining what is part of a transfer of functions, Subsequent measurement and accounting, Disclosure, Transitional provisions as well as the Effective date of the standard.

The effective date of the standard is for years beginning on or after 01 April 2014.

The municipality expects to adopt the standard for the first time in the 2015 financial statements.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's financial statements.

GRAP 107: Mergers

The objective of this Standard is to establish accounting principles for the acquirer in a transfer of functions between entities not under common control. It requires an entity that prepares and presents financial statements under the accrual basis of accounting to apply this Standard to a transaction or other event that meets the definition of a transfer of functions. It includes a diagram and requires that entities consider the diagram in determining whether this Standard should be applied in accounting for a transaction or event that involves a transfer of functions or merger.

It furthermore covers Definitions, Identifying a transfer of functions between entities not under common control. The acquisition method, Recognising and measuring the difference between the assets acquired and liabilities assumed and the consideration transferred, Measurement period, Determining what is part of a transfer of functions, Subsequent measurement and accounting, Disclosure, Transilional provisions as well as the Effective date of the standard.

The effective date of the standard is for years beginning on or after 01 April 2014.

The municipality expects to adopt the standard for the first time in the 2015 financial statements.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's financial statements.

GRAP 20: Related parties

The objective of this standard is to ensure that a reporting entity's financial statements contain the disclosures necessary to draw attention to the possibility that its financial position and surplus or deficit may have been affected by the existence of related parties and by transactions and outstanding balances with such parties.

An entity that prepares and presents financial statements under the accrual basis of accounting (in this standard referred to as the reporting entity) shall apply this standard in:

identifying related party relationships and transactions;

identifying outstanding balances, including commitments, between an entity and its related parties;

identifying the circumstances in which disclosure of the items in (a) and (b) is required; and

determining the disclosures to be made about those items.

This standard requires disclosure of related party relationships, transactions and outstanding balances, including commitments, in the consolidated and separate financial statements of the reporting entity in accordance with the Standard of GRAP on Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements. This standard also applies to individual financial statements. Disclosure of related party transactions, outstanding balances, including commitments, and relationships with related parties may affect users' assessments of the financial position and performance of the reporting entity and its ability to deliver agreed services, including assessments of the risks and opportunities facing the entity. This disclosure also ensures that the reporting entity is transparent about its dealings with related parties.

The standard states that a related party is a person or an entity with the ability to control or jointly control the other party, or exercise significant influence over the other party, or vice versa, or an entity that is subject to common control, or joint control. As a minimum, the following are regarded as related parties of the reporting entity:

A person or a close member of that person's family is related to the reporting entity if that person:

- -has control or joint control over the reporting entity;
- -has significant influence over the reporting entity;
- -is a member of the management of the entity or its controlling entity.

An entity is related to the reporting entity if any of the following conditions apply:

- -the entity is a member of the same economic entity (which means that each controlling entity, controlled entity and fellow controlled entity is related to the others);
- -one entity is an associate or joint venture of the other entity (or an associate or joint venture of a member of an economic entity of which the other entity is a member);
- -both entities are joint ventures of the same third party;
- -one entity is a joint venture of a third entity and the other entity is an associate of the third entity;
- -the entity is a post-employment benefit plan for the benefit of employees of either the entity or an entity related to the entity. If the reporting entity is itself such a plan, the sponsoring employers are related to the entity;
- -the entity is controlled or jointly controlled by a person identified in (a); and

NEW STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS

-a person identified in (a)(i) has significant influence over that entity or is a member of the management of that entity (or its controlling entity).

The standard furthermore states that related party transaction is a transfer of resources, services or obligations between the reporting entity and a related party, regardless of whether a price is charged.

The standard elaborates on the definitions and identification of:

Close member of the family of a person;

Management;

Related parties;

Remuneration; and

Significant influence

The standard sets out the requirements, inter alla, for the disclosure of:

Control;

Related party transactions; and

Remuneration of management

The effective date of the standard is for years beginning on or after 01 April 2013.

The municipality expects to adopt the standard for the first time in the 2014 financial statements.

It is unlikely that the standard will have a material impact on the municipality's financial statements.

The aggregate impact of the initial application of the statements and interpretations on the municipality's financial statements is expected to be as follows:

Notes to be added

Changes in accounting policy

The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Standards of Generally Recognised Accounting Practice on a basis consistent with the prior year except for the withdrawal of the following new or revised standards as per Directive 5 as issued by the Accounting Standard Board and for the adoption of the following new or revised standards.

IAS 32 Financial Instruments: Presentation withdrawn.

IAS 39 Financial instruments: Recognition and Measurement withdrawn.

IFRS 7 Financial instruments: Disclosures withdrawn.

IAS 19 Employee Benefils withdrawn.

Formulated a policy within Accounting Policies of the AFS for Financial Instruments based on GRAP 104.

Formulated a policy within Accounting Policies of the AFS for Impairments based on GRAP 21 and GRAP 26.

Formulated a policy within Accounting Policles of the AFS for Employee Benefits based on GRAP 25.

Formulated a policy within Accounting Policies of the AFS for Non exchange Transactions based on GRAP 23.

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1 BORROWINGS	2012	2011
Held at amortised cost		
Annulty Loan Standard bank Annulty Loan Standard bank Annulty Loan DBSA Annulty Loans ABSA Annulty Loan INCA Sinking Fund ABSA DBSA Local Registered Stock Loan Sub-total	21.011.252 13:281.875 40:373.680 32.527.144 18:110.312 11:600.000 15:000.000	0 0 35 010 350 34 780 000 19 829 408 11 600 000 15 000 000 116 219 758
Less: Current portion transferred to current liabilities Annully Loan Standard bank Annully Loan Standard bank Annully Loans ABSA Annully Loan INCA Annully Loan DBSA Total External Loans	9 573 601 2 949 656 2 121 918 2 498 154 1 947 206 985 667 142 330 562	4 447 202 0 0 2 187 262 1 719 096 540 844 111 772 566

Refer to Appendix A for more detail on long-term liabilities

Annuity Losn Standard bank

This loan has been split into two allocations of R21,011 million and R13,281 million and was taken up on 30 June 2012. These loans bear interest at rates of 11,8% and 10,98% respectively and will be fully redeemed on 30 June 2019 and 30 June 2017 respectively.

Annulty Loan DBSA

a loan of R 41 million of which R 35 010 350 has been allocated during the 2010 2011 financial year was taken up to finance capital projects. This loan bears interest at a rate of 6,75% per annum and will be fully redeemed on 31 October 2030

Annuity Loans ABSA

This loan has been split into two allocations of R25,140 million and R9,640 million and was taken up on 15 August 2010. These loans bear interest at rates of 10,62% and 8,75% respectively and will be fully redeemed on 31 July 2026 and 31 july 2016 respectively.

Annuity Loans INCA

Bear interest at a rate of 12,5%% per annum and will be fully redeemed on 31 December 2018.

This loan has been taken up to finance the purchase of land.

Sinking Fund : ABSA

An Investment of R6 982 292 has been made with BOE to repay a loan of R20 000 000 on maturity date. The loan bears interest on a variable rate and the value of the investment amounts to R19 890 829. The BOE investment has been ceded to ABSA

Excelsior 1000 Investment

An investment of R855 619 has been made with Liberty to repay a loan of R15 million on maturity date. The loan bears interest on variable rate and the value of the investment amounts to R3 786 347.

None of the loans are secured by any fixed or movable asset of the Greater Tzaneen Municipality.

The Municipality did not default on any of the borrowings in respect of Capital or interest portions

No terms attached to the borrowings were re-negotiated.

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

2 FINANCE LEASE LIABILITY

	Present value of
	Minimum lease Future finance minimum lease
	payment charges payment
30 June 2012	R R
· Within one year	2 753 768
WithIn two to five years	4 321 914 3935 338
	7.550 403 A W W 874'296 B 889 103
Current Liabiilles	2.753.768
Non-Current Liabilities	3.7935.338
	₹ × 4 € 689.106

The lease terms are between 3 years and 6 years, Interest rates are fixed at the contract date. Some leases have fixed repayment terms and ohers escalate. No arrangement has been entered into for contigent rent. Obligations under finance leases are secured by the lessor's title to the leased assets

The Municipality did not default on any of the interest or capital repayments of the finance leases.

No terms and conditions of the finance leases were re-negotiated.

30 June 2011	Minimum lease payment R	Future finance charges R	Present value of minimum lease payment R
Within one year	2 121 024		1 696 566
Within two to five years	3 990 363		3 513 847
Tital and the second	8 111 387	900 973	5 210 413
Current Liabilities			1 696 566
Non-Current Liabilities			3 513 847
116,11 GOILOTIL MADRIDOS			5 210 413

The lease terms are between 3 years and 5 years, interest rates are fixed at the contract date. Some Jeases have fixed repayment terms and ohers escalate. No arrangement has been entered into for configent rent. Obligations under finance leases are secured by the lessor's title to the leased assets

The Municipality did not default on any of the Interest or Capital repayments of

No terms and conditions of the finance leases were re-negotiated.

3 CONSUMER DEPOSITS	2012	. 2011 R
Electricity	18 554 112	11 574 824
Total Consumer Deposits	18 854 112	11 674 824
Guarantees held in iteu of Electricity Deposits	3 024.630	2 892 630
4 NON-CURRENT PROVISIONS		
Non-current provision	2 3 52 764 308	45 229 289
Provision for rehabilitation of landfill site	2 611 660	2 374 136
Balance at beginning of the year	2:374-136	2 158 305 215 831
Contributions to provision Balance at end of the year	2.611.650	2 374 136

The provision for rehabilitation of landfill sites relates to the legal obligation to rehabilitate landfill sites used for waste disposal. It is calculated as the present value of the future obligation, discounted at 10% over an average period of 20 years. The due date of this provision is June 2027.

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Provision for long service awards	6 688 929	4 720 463
Current -service cost	624 374	647 144
Interest cost	344 019	349 855
Actuarial (gain)hoss recognised in P&L	407 090	234 627
Net periodic cost recognised in P&L	> 1.376 483	1 231 626
Expected employer benefit vestings	507 017	-810 384
Transitional fability recognised outside P&L	4 720 463	4 299 221
Closing Balance	%% : 5	4 720 463

Long service awards relates to the legal obligation to provide for long service teave awards. An actuarial valuation has been performed on all 684 employees that are entitled to long service leave awards on 30 June 2012. The long service leave awards liability is not a funded arrangement , i.e no separate assets have been set aside to meet this liability.

Provision for post - employment health care benefits	<i>ॐ</i> ₹-44 563:827:	38 134 690
	Marin Marin W	
Current -service cost	2311 975	1 693 663
Interest cost	3 257 879	2 411 292
Actuarial (ge'n)hoss recognised in P&L	9 × 955 602	8 3 10 134
Net periodic cost recognised in P&L	₩ 47 625.456	12 415 089
Expected employer benefit payments	7 1 098 319	-846 318
Transitional fability recognised outside P&L	38 134 690	26 565 919
Closing Balance	3*44·563·827	38 134 690

The post-employment health care benefits valuation considers all employees retired employees and their dependants who participate in the health care arrangements and are entitled to a post-employment medical scheme subsidy. The post-employment health care liability is not a funded arragement, i.e no separate assets have been set aside to meet this liability. The effective date of the valuation is 30 June 2012.

Summary of the eligible in -service membership. (Post-employment health care benefits)

	Female	Male	Total
Number of principal members	118	150	268
Number of Efgible Non-Members	97	181	278
Average age	39,4	45.4	43.0
Average past service	8.7	10.7	9.1
Average no. of dependents	1,6	1.8	1.7

Summary of continuation membership. (Post-employment health care benefit)

	Female	male	Total
Number of principal members	16.0	23.0	39.0
Average age of members	71.0	70.4	70,6
Average no, of dependents	0.1	0.7	0.4
Average employer contribution	R 2 122	R 3 120	R2711

In-service members will receive a post-employment subsidy of 60% of the contribution payable. Continuation members receive either a 60% subsidy or a 70% subsidy. Upon a member's death-in-service or death-in-retirement, the surviving dependants will continue to receive the same percentage subsidy.

Summary of the key financial assumptions. (Post-employment health care benefit)

Assumption	Value p.a
Discount rate	8,03%
Health care cost inflation rate .	6,99%
Net effective discount rate	0.97%

	2012	2011
§ CURRENT PROVISIONS	R	R
Performance bonus	2 138 391 2 138 391	1 982 059 1 982 059

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Performance bonuses accrue to Section 57 Managers and Managers on annual basis subject to certain conditions. The provision is an estimate of the amount due at the reporting date to staff.

Performance bonusses are paid one year in arrears as the assessment of eligible employees had not taken place at the end of the reporting period.

Performance bonusses are measured at face value as it is expected that these would be paid shortly after the financial year end once performance evaluations have been completed.

	Performance Bonus	Performance Bonus
Balance at beginning of year	1 982 059	1 845 782
Parlormance bonuses paid	199 843	-39 062
Contributions to provision	\$ 7 \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	175 339
Balance at the end of year	<u> </u>	1 982 059
6 ACCOUNTS PAYABLE		
Trada creditors	53 505 256	53 849 097
Payments received in advance	6.248 343	4 850 007
13th Cheque	4.060 408	3 022 961
Staff leave	5 817 207	4 864 468
Retention	8:159 957	8 922 094
Unknown direct deposits	10 372 910	3 228 588
Other creditors	769 006	570 546
Total Creditors		79 307 759

The Municipality did not default on any of the accounts payable in respect of capital or interest portions.

No terms attached to the accounts payable were re-negotiated.

7 VAT . Net VAT payables	25 738 089	19 236 926
--------------------------	------------	------------

VAT is payable on the payments basis. Only once payment is received from debtors and payments made to suppliers, is VAT paid over to SARS.

8 UNSPENT CONDITIONAL GRANTS AND RECEIPTS

Conditional Grants from other spheres of Government	?'⊹}∰` 39'819 997	15 909 0 <u>94</u>
Grants RSC & Other	539 B19 997	15 909 094
Total Conditional Grants and Recoipts	39 919:997	15 909 094
TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER		

These amounts are invested in a ring-fenced short-term deposits until utilised.

Refer to note 22 for a detailed breakdown of all unspent conditional grants.

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 9 Intangible Assets

	alue	81 545
	Carrying value	
	Accumulated amortisation	0
2 011	Cost / Valuation	81 545
	Carrying value	49 645
	Accumulated amortisation	49 822
2 0 1 2	Cost / Valuation	99 467
		Computer

Reconciliation of Intangible Assets - 2012

	Opening balance	Additions	Disposals	Transfers	Amortisation	Total
Computer software	98 184	1 283	0	0	49 822	49 644

Reconciliation of Intangible Assets - 2011

	Opening balance	Additions	Disposals	Transfers	Amortisation	Total
Computer software	0	98 184	0	0	16 639	81 545

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2012 NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 10 Investment property

		2 012			2 011	
	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation	Carrying value	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation	Carrying value
Investment property	208 608 792	0	208 608 792	305 491 622	0	305 491 622

Reconciliation of investment property - 2012

	Opening balance	Unbundling of land	Disposals	Transfers	Depreciation	Total
Investment property	305 491 622	0	-96 882 830	0	0	208 608 792

Reconciliation of investment property - 2011

	Opening balance	Unbundling of D	Disposals-	Transfers	Depreciation	Totaí
Investment property	158 016 830	147 474 792	O	0	0	305 491 622

2012	208 608 792	
	Fair value of investment	properties

A register containing the information required by section 63 of the Municipal Finance Management Act is available for inspection at the registered office of the manicipality.

Details of valuation

The GTM valuation is based on the valuation roll and is reviewed every four years.

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2012 NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 11

PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

		1000					ACCUMULATED DEPARECIATION		25				
SALAMOR	COSEMINIC SALI ANDE ADDITIONS ADDITIONS	ADDITIONS	DAT ANCIE	DISPOSALS	DISPOSALS TRANSPERED CLOSING	CLOSING	OPENING /	ADDITIONS	DISPOSALS	TRANSPERE CLOSING		CARRYING VALUE ADOITIONS 2011	ADDITIONS 2011
		UNDER	UNDER		סתד	BALANCE	BALANCE			1000	BALANCE		
		CONSTRUCTION CONSTRU	CONSTRUCTIO										
		ALL T	777										
							-				Sand A All Solve	STA BET BYC Y	
22 229 47R	1 649 329 478 19 609 015				0	1 665 938 488	358 586 755	88 828 118			6/9 4T4 / bb		
						80-930-173	10.157.054	2 591 977			12,749 030	68 181 143	
80 330 1/3			2)								~	147 AVE 774	
142 015 771					0	142 015 771	D						
400000				22 240	٩	52 958 995	12 883 219	4.505 529	1.8 587		17 370 051	41.588.594	•
17 pcg 11	17 346 72						l		2000		4070000	T 038 C73	
13 555 686	4 213 992		0	8 354 249	0	14 415 420	11 643 914	4 087 191	8 334 368		13/0000	1200	
				A 470 A56	9	1 062 DM ACT	4 0K2 071 4K3 383 270 942	100 012 814	6 372 936		484 910 820	1 477 348 036	
825 463 331	44 378 35	5		1	1							87 800 V8	
31,639,240	31 639 240 65 506 337				13 447 429	84 098 148			1		200 000 000	4 6	
1100 001	1 047 408 474 AA 978 541	8	0	8 376 439		1 993 660 683		393 270 942 100 012 314	5 372 936	-	454 310 050	Į	

			COST					ACCUMULATE	ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION	NO				1 11 2000
	OPENING BALANCE ADDITIONS ADDITIONS	ADDITIONS	ADDITIONS UNDER	BALANCE UNDER	DISPOSALS	DISPOSALS TRANSFERED CLOSING OUT	GLOSING	OPENING	ADDITIONS	DISPOSALS	TRANSPERE CLOSING	CLOSING	CARRYING VALUE	ADDITIONS ZOTT
•			CONSTRUCTION	CONSTRUCTION CONSTRUCTION										
2011														
	CTA CARAGE	SECOCHOS CONTRACTOR		C	2 581 235	0	1 652 329 473	269 142 948	89 543 658	258 851		358 586 755	1.293.742.718	222 487 000
IDITED STATES	4 47 1000	200					80.080.173	7 C72 ORG	2 S.P.A. 9GB			10157 054	211 ETT 07	0
Community Assets	79 953 178	377 000	2	2			2000					-	A ANTON THE PARTY AND A	-
, see	140 CM 5 777		0	0	0	0	142015 771	0				2	477 CTO 7WY	
	100 100 100	l	-		170 103		31 633 228	9 437 344	3 545 124	99.249		12 883 219	18 755 009	1176000
Uthar Adda to	30 300 740	1			000, 20	6	18555 686		g 789 487	0	0	11 643 914	5911772	
TINATICO LOBSO ARROLL	10 20 TAC CT	2027 400												
									Æ			Secondary Section	- POR 400 500	120 583 000
Total passing familiar	1 22 022 524 SAC 1230 230 2	1 67 230 BS3		0	3 755 506		1 925 469 331	1 925 469 331 294 006 805	99 463 236	88.		333 2/0 342		
COLUMN TARREST TO THE PARTY OF		100					A COLUMN TO A COLU							

Also refer to Appendix B for additional analysis of property plant and equipment.

Infrastructure Assets

During the current financial year the municipality implemented a process to identify, record, value and manage contain electricity and read infrastructure assets. The key lasted in this regard were as follows: In lemms of GRAP 17 that were previously not componentiated. This regard were as follows:

Physical verification and valuation

- All the infrastructure assets have been physically verified during the year by specialists. During this process the sesset location, condition and
 maintenance history was recorded and evaluated.
 Cub to the specialised nature of the assets, and market evaliability of information, the Deproducted Replacement Cost method was used.
 Retrospective application of the effects of Implementation of GRAP 17
 The Implementation of GRAP 17 is a change in accounting policy, in terms of GRAP 8 changes in accounting policy and applied.
 - rotrospectively.

retrospective application corrections were done as fallows:

Disclosure of the asset information

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2012 NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

- The deemed cost was determined by using the depreciated replacement values (DRC).
 In order to apply the retrespective application as required for the infractructure sessits, the DRC values were adjusted to reflect as at 30 July 2007.
 The opening cost for the take on values of the passels as well as for accountated depreciation to restated. This adjustment is made directly to
- Depreciation for the year has been pased on the new asset values and is calculated on the straight line method.

Movable Assets

During the current financial year the municipality implemented a process to identify, record and value movable associa as required in terms of GRAP 17. This resulted in a take on of additional assets not previously recorded for movable assets. The key issued in a take on of additional assets not previously recorded for movable assets. The key issued in this regard word as follows:

Physical verification and valuation

- All the movable assets have been physically verified during the year, During this process the asset location, condition, description and custodian was recorded and evaluated,

A 100% verification and condition assossment was done.

Retraspective application of the effects of SRAP 17
~ In larms of GRAP 3 changes in accounting policies should be applied retraspectively. The methodology followed for the retraspective application corroctions was done as followed:

Disclosure of the asset information

Adtual values were used where supporting information was available. For the remaining assots the DRC methodology was followed to determine the doomed ost of these assets.

The opening for the take on values of the assets as well as for accumulated deprecipition is resisted. This adjustment is made directly to

. Depreciation for the year has been based on the new asset values and is colculated on the straight line method accumulated surplus.

Rectassification of Assets

During the autont financial year the municipality implemented a process to verify, record and measure assets as required in terms of GRAP 17. This resulted in a reclassification of certain infrastructure, community and either assets previously inserted the described.

Retrospective application of the offects of GRAP 17

- in terms of GRAP 3 changes in accounting policies should be applied

retrospectively.

Change of Comparative figures

During the etment francial year the municipality captableed centain infractavature areats in the prior that have not been recented in the prior year menting in a restatoment of the prior year

Rafer to note XX on prior period error note for detail of corrections made

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NVESTMENTS	2012 R	2011 R
Unlisted		
Held-to-maturity Investments Fixed Deposts Total Cash Investments	3% 23877A75 23.677.175	20 682 629 20 682 629
Councils' valuation of unlisted investments		
Liberty BOE (Sinking Fund)	3 786 347 19:890 828 23 677 175	2 777 098 17 905 631 20 682 629

investments have been made for the redemption of long - term loan liabilities. Refer to note 1 for more detail on long - term loans.

Fair value of investments are at quoted book value as at 30 June 2012.

No non-current investment defaulted and no terms of any of the non-current investments were re-negotialed.

13 LONG-TERM RECEIVABLES

12

Other Loans	919 935	4 313 190
Electrical Connection	\$ \$ \\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	469
Stand Loans	170,586	1 933 589
Other Debtors (El Gondor)	v. 3. 3. 6	986 205
Debior Arrangements	749 369	1 412 927
LESS: Current portion transferred to current receivables	170,566	2 900 263
Other Loans	170 566	2 900 263
Electrical Connection	(1860) 10 323 0	469
Stand Loans	170 566	2 899 794
Debtor Arrangements	\$2.00 P. TO TO TO	l
Less :Provision for bad debt	\$12×19,19:1749:369	1 412 927
Total	50 m 5 1 2 4 1 0	0
Reconciliation of provision for bad debt		
Balance at beginning of year	1 412 928.	2 378 803
Contributions to provision	e 22 e 2474 760	-985 875
Bad debts written off	· 488:809	0
Balance at end of year	7 / · · · · · · · . 749 369;	1 412 928
m-minister		

No security is held for any of the long-term receivables.

No long-term receivables defaulted and no terms of any of the long-term receivables were re-negotiated.

No portion of the long-term receivables was pledged as security for any financial flabilities.

No portion is past due or impaired.

LOANS TO STAFF AND THE PUBLIC

To comply with the requirements of the MFMA no loan has been made after 1 March 2004.

OTHER LOANS

Electricity Connection

To encourage tenants to connect to the electricity service, a subsidy of 50% per connection were granted to finance the cost to consumers , with interest rates between 20% and 21%. These loans are repayable over a maximum period of five years and were granted before the implementation of the MFMA.

Stand Loans

Loans were made to enable people to purchase stands from Council. These

Debtor Arrangements

Short -term debt repayment arrangements are engaged in to enable debtors to pay outstanding consumer accounts.

2011

2012

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

14 INVENTORY

INVENTORY		2012	2011
Consumable stores		8 254 924	9 006 924
Stands		723 090	1 723 000
		1.9 % \$40 977.924	10 729 924
Inventary (Write dovins)		XXXXXXXXX	0
Total Inventory		* *** 10 977 924	10 729 924
Carrying value of stock is disclosed at	cost.		
Inventory Pledged as Security			
No inventory was pledge as security.			
		Provision for 3	Kin da
RECEIVABLES	Gross Balance R		Net Balance
As at 30 June 2012	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF	R	R
Service deblore	47.53.53.63.53.63.53.63.53.63.53.63.63.63.63.63.63.63.63.63.63.63.63.63	10 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	2001.000
Rales	55 936 635	48 705 300 14 689 898	9 231 335
Electricity	26 388 294	22 208 778	41 152 080 34 179 516
Refuse	[3/4] 20 300 284].	* 3m City at City 64	
Total			
10(8)	138 100 000	1	
		Provision for	
	Gross Balance	Bad Debis	Net Balance
As at 30 June 2011	R	K	R
Service deblors	40.000.000	39 210 866	10 015 833
Rales	49 226 699 47 164 627	15 012 363	32 152 284
Electricity	30 523 189	28 097 324	4 425 865
Refuse	30 323 108	20 087 024	4 120 000
Total	126 914 515	80 320 553	46 593 962
		2012	2011
Rates: Ageing		R	R 3 989 146
Current (0 - 30 days)		4 833 610 2 389 666	2 130 833
31 - 60 Days		2 502 182	2 210 989
61 - 90 Days		1.671.254	1 684 888
91 - 120 Days 121Days and longer		44 539 923	39 210 865
Total		> ♦ 55 936 636	49 226 699
Electricity: Ageing			
(Current 0 - 30 Days)		28 476 212	23 096 833
31 - 60 Days		8 365 940	5 670 314
61 - 90 Days		4 158 602	2 110 440
91 - 120 Days		2 151 304	1 274 675
121Days and longer		34 689 897	15 012 384
Total		## COS 55 841 955	47 164 626
Refuse removal: Ageing			
(Current 0 - 30 Days)		2 194 226	1 912 869
31 - 60 Days		101.314	958 226
61 - 90 Days		909 482	808 269
01 - 120 Days		- 1,20 % AVEO 300,04Z	746 501
121Days and longer		21 352 732	26 097 324 30 523 189
Total		≥ ₹ 26 388.295;	30 023 109
Crimmani of Oablare by Cuclamar C	assification including othe	er debiors	
Sutilities of Deprote by Costolites of			
Residential Property: Aging		43 287 486	9 608 715
Residential Property: Aging Current (0 - 30 days)		43 287 486 6 239 757	9 608 715 4 467 881
Residential Property: Aging		6 239 757 2 587 719	4 467 881
Residential Property: Aging Current (0 - 30 days) 31 - 60 Days		6 239 757 2 587 719 2 185 877	4 467 881 2 298 565 2 061 983
Residential Property: Aging Current (0 - 30 days) 31 - 60 Days 61 - 90 Days		6 239 757 2 587 719	

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Industrial/commercial: Aging (Current 0 - 30 Days) 31 - 80 Days 61 - 90 Days 91 - 120 Days 121 Days and longer Total	19 948-753 6 7.19 346 3.936 980 1.878 100 22 616 398 355 099 577	19 239 509 4 885 694 1 586 246 1 190 173 25 938 186 52 839 898
National and Provincial; Aqing (Current 0 - 30 Days) 31 - 60 Days 61 - 90 Days 91 - 120 Days 121 Days and longer Total	685:360 583:041 349:147 286:903 7:154:944 9:099:395	1 015 848 398 126 358 128 323 162 8 108 249 10 203 511
Other: Aging (Current 0 - 30 Days) 31 - 60 Days 61 - 90 Days 91 - 120 Days 121Days and longer Indigent charge & Development cost	1,454 830 1,012 804 629 752 441 689 7 047 177 10 886 252	2 137 394 497 600 610 849 295 875 8 710 571 12 252 289

Consumer Debtors Pledged as Security

No portion of accounts receivable was pladged as security for any financial

Credit Quality of Consumer Debtors

The credit quality of Consumer Debtors that are neither past nor due impaired can be assessed by reference to historical information about counter party default rates. Although credit quality can be assessed the Municipality did not apply any methods to evaluate the credit quality.

Consumers Debtors

No security is held for any of the accounts receivable.

Consumer Debtors Impaired

As at 30 June 2012, Consumer Deblors of R 83 603 974 (2011: R80 320 553) were impaired and provided for.

Amounts totalling R 4 178 342 (2011 R1 882 766) were written off as uncollectable against the debt impairment allowance account.

These amounts best represent the maximum exposure to credit risk at the end of the reporting period without taking account if any collateral hald or other credit enhancements.

No portion is past due or impaired.

16 RECONCILIATION OF DOUBTFUL DEBT PROVISION

e at beginning of the year ations to provision I debis written off against provision a at end of year	80.320 553 7 461763 4 178:342 83 603 974	58 083 3 24 119 -1 882 80 320	494 768

The fair value of trade and other receivables approximates their carring amounts.

	2012 R	2011 R
Other debtors	*****112.546*276*	130 562 348
Payments made in advance Bursary Loans	6 248-343	4 850 007 64 318
Deposit Petrol	9.808	9 808
Year end deblors Other	0 0 0 0 4 4 3 1 4 0 7 1 4 8 5 6 7 1 8	38 021 019 87 617 196
Other	7 A. 1870 W. 1975	07 017 130
Less; Provision for bad debt	¥ 5-85 7 958 774	13 974 421
Total Other Debtors	<u>\$ < 104 587-505.</u>	116 587 827
	2012	2011
Reconciliation of provision for bad debt	R	R
Balance at beginning of year	13-974-42h	16 184 082
Contributions/ (Reversal) to provision	\$ \$ 6,578,290 \$ 4,593,940	-1 995 328
Bad debis written off Balance at end of year	3 A 7 S 17 958 77.1	-214 333 13 974 421
	•	
18 Operating lease.assets (accrual)		
Current assets	176 954	204 632
	176:954	204 632
Correction of prior year: refer to note 32		
Municipality as lessor: Operating leases minimum	n future receivables	
Not later than one year Later than one year no later than 6 years	5 115 345 7 2 333 311	103 760
Later than 6 years	349 585	426 451
A - AND A COLUMN OVERDE CET BUT MADE		
19 BANK, CASH AND OVERDRAFT BALANCES		
The Municipality has the following bank accounts:		
Current bank account (Primary bank account)		
ABSA Bank - Tzaneen Branch Account number - 1280850527		*
•		
Cash book balance at beginning of year Cash book balance at end of year	22:198:274 :50:277:604	-4 159 424 22 198 274
EFF Bank Acc	1.8 6 98 9 9 9 1 1 1 1 1	
ABSA Bank - Tzaneen Branch		
Account number - 4056018122		
Bank statement balance at beginning of year	2.2.2.3.0	941
Bank statement balance at end of year		
AFF Bank Acc		
ABSA Bank - Tzaneen Branch Account number - 4056017980		
		**
Bank statement balance at beginning of year Bank statement balance at end of year		941
Rates & General	***************************************	
ABSA Bank - Tzaneen Branch		
Account number - 4051444332		
Bank statement balance at beginning of year Bank statement balance at end of year	0	(
Grants Account		
ABSA Bank - Tzaneen Branch		
Account number - 9093767307		
Bank statement balance at beginning of year Bank statement balance at end of year		8 39
ABSA Bank - Tzaneen Branch	2.200000000000000000000000000000000000	
Account number - 4058018237		
Bank statement balance at beginning of year	3.5.1696E A 1170	941

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

ABSA Bank - Tzaneen Branch Account number - 4048964222

Bank statement balance at beginning of year Bank statement balance at end of year

32 338 697 61 117 022

1 668 841 32 338 697

ABSA Bank - Tzaneen Branch Account number - 9081974980

Bank statement balance at beginning of year Bank statement balance at end of year

150 942

2011

17 808 282

R

No cash and cash equivalents, or portion thereof, was pledged as security for any financial liabilities,

No restrictions exist regarding the use of cash.

No portion is past due or impaired.

20 PROPERTY RATES

Actual

2012 R 21/608/568 Residential

14 450 403 Commercial 17:992 058: State 5 281 074 5 140 257 Other 10 846 250 7 285 827 Total Assessment Rates 56.727 950 44 684 769

<u>Valuations</u>	July 2012 R000's	July 2011 R000's
Residential	○ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	3 393 917
Commercial	2 035 411	2 130 355
State	1 000 270	603 394
Municipal	254 914	286 861
Agroullure	4 029 496	4 801 837
Olher	5.816	247 309
Total Property valuations	4. \	11 463 673
Agreullure Olher	4 029 498	4 801 837 247 309

Valuations on land and buildings are performed every four years. The last valuation came into effect on 1 July 2008. Interim valuations are processed on a monthly basis to take into account changes in individual property values due to alterations and subdivisions. The same rate is applied on different categories of property and improvement valuations to determine assessment rates. Rebates are granted on various categories of properties. Interest at prime rate plus 1% is levied on outstanding rates and the prime rate of ABSA applies. The valuation roll has been extended for one year and new valuations will be effective from 1 July

> 2012 2011

21 SERVICE CHARGES

248 402 412 307 269 216 Sale of electricity 21 229 834 17 579 913 Refuse removal 212 382 206 799 Indigent Charges 595 029 748 320 Other 266 937 444 **Total Service Charges** 329 306 461

Greater Tzaneen Municipality acts as service provider for Mopani District Municipality with regard to the water and sewer services. Mopani District Municipality is the water and sewer service authority and those services reflects in their records

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

22 GOVERNMENT GRANTS AND SUBSIDIES

National MSIG Provincial Local Government Finance Management Grant Equitable share SETA MIG National - Electrification Grant National - Sofid Waste Grant	690.200 5,702.009 1,250.000 167.203.600 27.1.697 25.230,782 6.244.372 1,705.000	750 000 4 975 648 1 017 381 141 880 988 53 754 38 533 057 6 489 852 0 193 660 678
Drought Relief Programme Balance unspent at beginning of year Current year receipts	30.702	30 702
Conditions met • transferred to revenue Conditions still to be met • transferred to liabilities	\$ 30.702	30 702

The grant is targeting communities without primary potable water, mainly attributed by drought. The aim is to provide primary water to a minimum of 25th per day in the proposed area.

M	۱F	M	G

Mrmo	Lobbert innound	17 381
Balance unspent at beginning of year	XXXXX	** ***
Current year receipts	And St. Walter St. And A.	1 000 000
Conditions met - transferred to revenue		-1 017 381
Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	400 X X 1000	0

MFMG funds are used to facilitate GRAP and MFMA To capacitate employees by having programmes such as: The Municipal Finance Management Internship Programme

Equitable Share

In terms of the constitution this is an unconditional grant used to subsidise the provision of basic services to the indigent community households

SETA

Balance unspent at beginning of year	990 095	1 043 849
	200 D 200 200 P	0
Current year receipts		0
Transfers	£02 000000 940	
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	271.697	-53 754
Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities		990 095
Coliditate and to be truet - aggreeated to perspect		

The grant is used to pay for training courses. It supplement/augment the funds on the training vote. It is used to set off the primary and secondary ski'lls development facilitators when they are out of the office on skills development related matters.

MIG Grant ·		
Balance unspent at beginning of year		12 058 580
	46.712 000	17 934 916
Current year receipts	and the same of th	-1 456 200
Admin fees	5 200 557 22 132 285	
Conditions met - transferred to revenue		-27 343 973
Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	### 1 # 20 570 501	1 191 323

MIG Funds are used to upgrade and build new infrastructure up to a basic tevel of service as well as to rehabilitate existing infrastructure for the poorest of the poor.

Department of Trade & Mineral Balance unspent at beginning of year	336 [18	743 047
Current year receipts	594 398	0
Conditions met - transferred to revenue		-406 928
Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	930 616	336 118
Continues and to be thet adjustence to implime		

The main alm of this grant was to supply the farmers (workers) with electricity and the funds were used for the electrification of the larmers houses (the workers house) within the GTM. This was according to the DME (Department of minerals and Energy standards).

National Electrification Grant Balance unspent at beginning of year Current year receipts Conditions met - transferred to revenue Aministration fees Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities The grant was used for electrification of farm labour house Community Based Projects Balance unspent at beginning of year Current year receipts Conditions met - transferred to revenue Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities The funds were used for the training of lead facilitators, lastly community based projects roll out to the wards.	313 430 56 552 356 878	4 128 246 10 000 000 -8 158 808 -500 000 4 469 438 413 430
from December 2003 to date.	•	
Umsombovu Youth Fund Balance unspant at beginning of year Current year receipts	0.	49 767 -49 767
Conditions met - transferred to revenue Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities		0
	-	
The funds are used for the lunching of the project, all and the graduation of the Youth that are in the project.	so to pay for the Insurance	
EU GRANT		
FRUIT & NUT CLUSTER Balance unspent at beginning of year	645.758	-695 525
Current year receipts		49 767
Conditions met - transferred to revenue Conditions still to be met - transferred to habilities	> 20-645 768	-645 758
This grant was used for a hawker feasebility study		
NEIGHBOURHOOD GRANT	4	
Balance unspent at beginning of year	8 572 359 12 000 000	3 190 461 16 620 000
Current year receipts Conditions met - transferred to revenue	8.239 854	-5 238 122
Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	÷€ € 14'332'595°	8 572 359
These funds were used to embellish the enterances of	vaniles fours and villages	
These funds were used to embellish the emerances of	ANIMOS foutto crio ampies	
CLEANEST TOWN		450 768
Balance unspant at baginning of year Current year receipts		400 700
Conditions mat - transferred to revenue		460 766
Conditions still to be met - transferred to flabilities		400 100
Funds received through the greenest town complition schools in villages which refuse removal skips	were used to provide	
MSIG - ESTABLISHMENT GRANT	***************************************	148 595
Balance unspent at baginning of year	790 000	750 000
Current year receipts Conditions met - transferred to revenue	790.000 690.200	-898 595
Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	<u>~~ ~~ ~~ 99 800</u>	0
UPGRADING SPORT FACILITIES		
Balance unspent at beginning of year	100 623	100 623
Corrent year receipts		
Conditions met - transferred to revenue Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	100 623	100 623
This grant was used to upgrade sport facilities in town	as and villages	
CHANGES IN LEVELS OF	2012	2011
GOVERNMENT GRANTS	R	R
Based on the allocation set out in the Division of changes in the level of government grant fund fortnooming 3 financial years	Revenue Act, no significant ing are expected over the	

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

23 OTHER INCOME

Insurance claims Valuation certificates Non Refundable deposits Environmental health services Library servise Other income Total other Income 24 EMPLOYEE RELATED COSTS	1039 837 24 195 3 760 38.627 16 621 6.043 071	1 264 941 19 351 3 110 51 755 19 188 4 010 725 5 369 070
Employee related costs - Salaries and Wages Employee related costs - Social contributions Travel allowance Housing allowance Overtime payments Performance bonus Less: Employee costs capitalised to Property, Plant and Equi	10.1.908.808 30.106.659 6.036.988 1.331.940 1.956.797 497.425 1p 0 64.101.568 97.737.149	99 076 747 33 207 804 4 911 681 1 050 254 9 294 563 410 091 0 55 825 893 91 126 144
There were no advances to employees. Remuneration of the Municipal Manager Annual Remuneration Performance Bonuses Total	954 138 51407 × 4.005.545	634 370 0 634 370
Remuneration of the Chief Finance Officer Annual Remuneration Performance Bonuses Total	913 863	909 095
Remuneration of individual Directors Community Services Corporate Services Engineering Services Electrical Engineering Planning & Economic Development Total	903 997 0 0 908 934 906 397 2 720 328	756 768 659 145 693 704 799 211 756 768 3 666 596
25 REMUNERATION OF COUNCILLORS Mayor Counciliors Allowances Executive Committee Allowances Speaker Allowances Full Time Counciliors Medical Fund Contributions	2012 R 658 262 91 191 304 1 478 577 6 50 767 2 796 244	2011 R 605 596 10 716 651 1 419 566 499 431 1 986 713

In-kind Benefits

The Mayor, Speaker and five Councillor are full-time. Each is provided with an office and secretarial support at the cost of the Council.

The Mayor has use of a Council owned vehicle for official duties.

The Mayor has one full-time Secretary and one pa.

	THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL ST	AIEMENIS	
	s & Maintanance	∴ ₩ # 187:839;	164 990
Lawom	ery & Equipment	2462212	2 497 538
	ilion Nehvorks	24 880 256	22 690 258
	valer Drainage & Bridges	7.012.148	7 543 859
Тапеd		12 504 513	21 219 943
Gravel	Roads	11 886 591.	13 471 993
Sidewa	ilks & Pavemenis	3 436 099	4 106 724
Streetli		694 930	713 741
	Owned Land	8 240 397	8 728 619
	I-Ovmed Bulldings	6.685.774	6 309 634 11 626 182
	I-Owned Vehicles	11 743 118 164 762	285 104
	ouncil-Owned Assets - Contractors	815 679	1 623 237
Others		** 1* 90 714 319	100 981 820
i Otal h	Repairs & Meinlanance		
27 INTER	EST ON EXTERNAL BORROWINGS		
Longite	erm Habiitles	%-(%-12-054°685.	7 232 422
	e leases	711 823	551 094
	overdrafts		
Total I	nterest on External Borrowings	12.766 608	7 783 516
28 BULK	PURCHASES	2012	2011
		R	R
Electri Total i	city Bulk Purchases	204 802 806 204 802 806	176 451 428 176 451 428
	RACTED SERVICES		
	icted services for.	FE 39 (1223)	433 915
	ation Technology	1780 633	1 738 055
	reading ity Services	8 494 752	7 318 141
	e removal	7.248 067	8 875 316
	ing Services	7 920 955	6 917 687
	For noit	1 906 522	1 105 403
	Planning	360 166	30 527
Vetod	_	107.833	165 632
EPW		692 437	0
		- <u>/ 7/28 892 688</u> -	26 644 676
30 GRAN	YTS & SUBSIDIES PAID		
Sport	Coundl	₩V.: \$\\$\\$\\$\\$\\$\\$\\$\\$	106 844
SPCA		90 000	90 000
	Special Account	12.701	59 242
	Bursary Account		174 890
	n EBSST	2 836 792	2 622 667
	(Training)	271 697	53 764
Dapai	riment of Trade & Mineral	594 398	406 929
HPH		6 239 854	3 877 302
MSIG		690 200 14 760 034	750 000 21 757 029
Other	grants	24 560 97f	29 898 457
4.5.		80.40	2011
* * * * *	ERAL EXPENDITUE	2012 R	R
	ors Fees	2 329 249 663 089	1 650 022 525 082
	umable Domestic Items	4 037/191	3 234 470
	Vehicles	2 772 959	3 480 559
Instra	ance Claims Own Expenditure	-7.260 780°	6 784 892
	es - Photocoplers	380.060	0
Memi	pership Fees - Salga	1 189 262	732 385
Non-	Capital Tools & Equipment	1 760 735	216 440
Posta	ige & Courier Fees	904 265	752 368
Printi	ng & Stationery	1 427 452	1 229 623
	ba Share - Drivers Licence Fee	96 365.	2 648 600 525 162
	ctive Clothing	563:944 	0ZU 10Z
	ncial Share - Vehicle Ucence Fee	48 986	96 928
	c Education And Training	535 077	353 710
	 Telephone Exchange Computer 	576.991	416 096
Subs	istance & Travelling Expenses	5 769 772	5 627 691
3005	A THE PERSON OF	a gajāgangling ng gipunnus die 🦰 — mandrili nand	

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Telephone	1 890 089	2 006 800
Training Costs	\$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	781 384
Others	## 11 133:108 ### 20761 490	8 953 273
Total General Expenses	64 099 844	40 015 485

32 CORRECTION OF PRIOR PERIOD ERRORS

Certain comparative figures have been reclassified for falter presentation of the information due to prior period errors. Refer to note 39 for prior period errors.

Prior period errors

The correction of lemors results in adjustments for the 2009 year as set out below.

Take on of intrastructure prior to 1/07/2010	
Increese in Infrastructure Asset	14 398 554
Increase in Infrastructure Accumulated Depreciation	(2 414 632)
Net effect on Statement of Financial Position	11 981 922
(14) Allery All applications at 1 weeks a second	
Increase in Accumulated Surplus	(11 981 922)
Net effect on Statement of Changes in Net Assets	(11 981 922)
Take on of Land prior to 1/07/2010	07.040.001
Increase In Land	95 643 921
Net effect on Statement of Financial Position	95 643 921
Increase in Accumulated Surplus	(95 643 921)
Net effect on Statement of Changes in Net Assets	(95 643 921)
Mark alless off of gradulatings of oppositions in sections are	(00010021)
Take on of Other Assets prior to 1/07/2010	
Increase in Other Assets	5 130 814
Increase in Other Assets Accumulated Depreciation	(2 487 777)
Net effect on Statement of Financial Position	2 643 037
Increase in Accumulated Surplus	(2 643 037)
Net effect on Statement of Changes in Net Assets.	(2 643 037)
Take on of infrastructure prior to 1/07/2011	
increase in infrastructure	75 803
Increase in Infrastructure Accumulated Depreciation	(3 965)
Net effect on Statement of Financial Position	71 839
	(74.600)
Increase in Accumulated Surplus	(71 839)
Net effect on Statement of Changes in Net Assets	(71 839)
Take on of infrastructure - in respect of Life span changes due to unbundling	
Increase in Infrastructure Accumulated Depreciation	(6 898 053)
Net effect on Statement of Financial Position	(6 896 053)
Decrease in Accumulated Surplus	6 898 053
Net effect on Statement of Changes in Net Assets	6 896 053
Reallocation of Computer Equipment to Furniture and Fittings Due to Prior errors	
în classification	
Cost of Computer Equipment	(5 145)
Accumulated Depreciation of Computer Equipment	4 116
Cost of Furniture and Fillings	6 145
Accumulated Depreciation of Furniture and Fittings	(4 116)
Net effect on Statement of Financial Position	•
Reallocation of Computer Equipment to Office Equipment Due to Prior errors in	
classification	/E E001
Cost of Computer Equipment	(5 599) 1 122
Accumulated Depreciation of Computer Equipment	5 599
Cost of Office Equipment	(1 122)
Accumulated Depreciation of Office Equipment Net effect on Statement of Financial Position	11 162)
HELDIEN NI STATEMENT OF STRAINER LASKON	

Realtocation of Computer Equipment to Plant & Machinery Due to Prior errors in	
classification	
Cost of Computer Equipment	(9 985) 6 534
Accumulated Depreciation of Computer Equipment Cost of Plant & Machinery	9 985
Accumulated Depreciation of Plant & Machinery	(6 534)
Net effect on Statement of Financial Position	
The state of the s	
Reallocation of Furniture & Fittings to Computer Equipment Due to Prior errors to classification	
Cost of Furniture and Fittings	(12 356)
Accumulated Depreciation of Furniture and Fittings	9 897
Cost of Computer Equipment	12 356 (9 897)
Accumulated Depreciation of Computer Equipment Net effect on Statement of Financial Position	(V 007)
Reallocation of Furniture & Fittings to Office Equipment Due to Prior errors In	
classification	44.4420
Cost of Furniture and Fittings	(4 417) 1 817
Accumulated Depreciation of Furniture and Fittings Cost of Office Equipment	4 417
	41 4400
Accumulated Depreciation of Office Equipment	. (1.817)
Net effect on Statement of Financial Position	
Reallocation of Furniture & Fittings to Plant & Machinery Due to Prior errors in	
classification	(820)
Cost of Furniture and Fittings Accumulated Depredation of Furniture and Fittings	1
Cost of Plant & Machinery	820
Accumulated Depreciation of Plant & Machinery	(1)
Net effect on Statement of Financial Position	4
Reallocation of infrastructure(Roads) To Community Assets (Buildings) Due to	
Prior errors in classification	
Cost of Infrastructure(Roads)	(522 515)
Accumulated Depreciation of Infrastructure(Roads)	52 359 522 516
Cost of Community Assets(Buildings) Accumulated Depreciation of Community Assets(Buildings)	(52 359)
Net effect on Statement of Financial Position	(0)
Reallocation of infrastructure(Roads) To Community Assets(Fencing) Due to Prior	
errors in classification	*** ***
Cost of Infrastructure (Roads)	(41 929) 4 201
Accumulated Depreciation of Infrastructure (Roads) Cost of Community Assets (Fencing)	41 929
Accumulated Depreciation of Community Assets (Fencing)	(4 201)
Net effect on Statement of Financial Position	
Reallocation of Infrastructure(Roads) To Community Assets(Roads & Subgrade)	
Due to Prior errors in classification	
Cost of infrastructure(Roads)	(597 216)
Accumulated Depreciation of Infrastructure(Roads)	59 844 597 216
Cost of Community Assets(Roads & Subgrade) Accumulated Depreciation of Community Assets(Roads & Subgrade)	(59 844)
Net effect on Statement of Financial Position	
the state of the s	
Reallocation of infrastructure(Roads) To Community Assets(Roads) Due to Prior errors in classification	•
Cost of infrastructure(Roads)	(11 301 760)
Accumulated Degreciation of Infrastructure(Roads)	1 474 995
Cost of Community Assets(Roads)	11 301 760 (1 474 995)
Accumulated Depreciation of Community Assets(Roads) Net effect on Statement of Financial Position	(1-47-2007
Reallocation of Infrastructure(Roads) to Infratructure(Sormwater) Due to Prior errors in classification	
Cost of Infrastructure(Roads)	(41 632 409)
Accumulated Depreciation of Infrastructure(Roads)	11 507 782 41 532 409
Cost of Infratructure(Sommyaler) Accumulated Depreciation of Infratructure(Sommyaler)	(11 507 782)
Nel effect on Statement of Financial Position	

LO TO THE AUTOMET MANOPLE OF ATEMETIC	
Reallocation of Office Equipment to Motor Vehicles Due to Prior errors in	
classification	400 DON
Cost of Office Equipment	(26 288)
Accumulated Depreciation of Office Equipment	5 288
Cost of Motor Vehicle	26 288
Accumulated Depreciation of Motor Vehicle	(5 268)
Net effect on Statement of Financial Position	
Reallocation of Office Equipment to Computer Equipment Due to Prior errors in	
classification	to anni
Cost of Office Equipment	(2 662)
Accumulated Depreciation of Office Equipment	591
Cost of Computer Equipment	2 662
Accumulated Dapreciation of Computer Equipment	(591)
Net effect on Statement of Financial Position	*
•	
Reallocation of Office Equipment to Furniture & Fittings Due to Prior errors in	
classification	
Cost of Office Equipment	(10 649)
Accumulated Dapredation of Office Equipment	1 553
Cost of Furniture & Fittings	10 649
Accumulated Dapreciation of Furniture & Fittings	(1 553)
Net effect on Statement of Financial Position	•
Mar alled off officiality (I) thristies to carbon	
The same with the March Start	
Reallocation of Office Equipment to Plant & Machinery Due to Prior errors in	•
classification	(0.046)
Cost of Office Equipment	(2 015)
Accumulated Depreciation of Office Equipment	1 791
Cost of Plant & Machinery	2 016
Accumulated Depreciation of Plant & Machinery	(1 791)
Net effect on Statement of Financial Position	
Reallocation of Plant & Machinery to Computer Equipment Due to Prior errors In	
classification	
Cost of Plant & Machinery	(8 638)
Accumulated Depreciation of Plant & Machinery	2 874
Cost of Computer Equipment	9 699
Accumulated Depreciation of Computer Equipment	(2 874)
Net effect on Statement of Financial Position	· ·
Reallocation of Plant & Machinery to Furniture & Fittings Due to Prior errors in	
dessification	
Cost of Plant & Machinery	(2 349)
Accumulated Depreciation of Plant & Machinery	789
Cost of Furniture & Fittings	2 349
Accumulated Depreciation of Furniture & Fittings	(789)
Net effect on Statement of Financial Position	
Ket alled oil Statefflett of Citionolar Losinoli	
Reallocation of Plant & Machinery to Motor Vehicles Due to Prior errors in	
classification	44.7
Cost of Plant & Machinery	(37)
Accumulated Depreciation of Plant & Machinery	26
Cost of Motor Vehicles	37
Accumulated Depreciation of Molor Vehicles	(25)
Net effect on Statement of Financial Position	
Reallocation of Plant & Machinery to Office Equipment Due to Prior errors in	
classification	
Cost of Plant & Machinery	(9 511)
Accumulated Depreciation of Plant & Machinery	5 908
Cost of Office Equipment	9 511
Accumulated Depreciation of Office Equipment	(6 908)
Net effect on Statement of Financial Position	
Statement Of Position	
Assels	
Property, plant and equipment	103 444 666
Total Assets	103 444 666
Not assets and Liabilities	
Net surplus	(103 444 666)
*	

Statement of financial performance Expenses Depreciation, amortisation and impairments Surplusi(Deficit) for the period	 	3 985 3 965
Statement of Changes in Net Assets		
Balance at 1 July 2008		602 938 228 (27 182 823)
Loss for the year Balance at 1 July 2010	=	575 755 405
33 GAIN / (LOSS) ON SALE OF ASSETS		
Property plant and equipment	3 603	440 920
Other financial assets Total Gain / (Loss) on sate of assets	3:603	•440 920 0
Total dant / (Loss) on sale of desert		
34 CASH GENERATED BY OPERATIONS		
Net surplus for the year	-88,639,358	-84 273 246
Adjustments for	99 936 375	96 764 663
Depreciation Gain on disposal of property plant & equipment		
Contributions to Provisions - non-current	7.535 017	12 205 844 136 277
Contributions to Provisions current	157,332	-833 134
Transfers	-19 103 090	-16 370 168
Interest received Interest Paid	12 766 508	7 783 516
Operational surplus before working capital changes	** 12.652.784	35 413 752
Increase in inventories	248 000	-2 835 303 -7 959 485
Increase in Debtors	7,988,949 -23,055,317	-11 092 561
Dacrease in other debtors Increase in Conditional Grants	-23:055:317 24 0(0:903	-5 768 846
increase in Creditors	16 126 491	2 080 336
Cash generated by operations	21 517 912	9 817 893
35 CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	2012	2011 R
make a set the and of the secret	R 60 277 604	22 198 274
Balance at the end of the year Balance at the beginning of the year	4.3 24:22 198 274	-4 416 509
Net increase / (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	<u>₹22 € 128 079 330.</u>	26 614 783
36 UTILISATION ON LONG-TERM LIABILITIES RECONCILIA	MOITA	
Long term liabilities	\$;\$151.904.163.	116 219 758
Used to finance property, Plant and equipment	3 3 40 282 776	69 780 360
Sub-Total	111 621 387	46 429 408
Cash set askie for the repayment of loans Cash invested for repayment of loans	23 677 175	20 682 629
Cash invested for repayment of external loans	-135 298 662	67 112 037
External loans have been utilized in accordance with I Management Act. Sufficient cash has been set aside to loans can be repaid on redemption date. See note 1 for more	ensure that external	
37 UNAUTHORISED, IRREGULAR, FRUITLESS AND WAST DISALLOWED	EFUL EXPENDITURE	
Unauthorised expenditure		
Reconciliation of unauthorised expeniture	2012 R	2011 R
Opening Balance	MT 7877.0	21 677 942 0
Unauthorised expanditure for the year		-21 677 942
Approved by council		-1 v: 1 × 1-
Closing balance	VX \$\;\X \ \X \ \X \ \X \ \X \ \X \ \X \	0
entering entering		

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Incident

Conditional Grants were not cash backed in 2010 / 2011

Disciplinary steps / Criminal proceedings

None

Irregular fruitiess and wasteful expanditure	2012	2011
Reconcillation of fruitiess and wasteful expenditure	R	R
Opening balance Fruitless and wasteful expenditure Loss of cash due to fraud Transfer to Statement of Financial Performance	0	168 166 0 0
Closing balance	ANTONOMICO.	168 166

incident

The Municipality had to pay interest charges on late payments to ESKOM of R 168 168.00 due to a shortfall in cash which resulted from the equitable share allocation received late in August 2010. Approved by council on 31 January 2012

Disciplinary steps / Criminal proceedings

none

Reconciliation of irregular expenditure	2012	2011
	R	R
Opening balance Ineguiar expenditure	0	1 251 503
Transfer to Statement of Financial Performance	\$2000 BESS \$450 F	
Closing balance	A Merce & Sec. 0	1 251 503

incldent

Increase in contract price of R 1 251 503 after contract was awarded to Kgosl Monene during the 2010 2011 financial year. Approved by council on 31 January 2012

Disciplinary steps / Criminal proceedings

None

38 ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES IN TERMS OF MUNICIPAL FINANCE MANAGEMENT ACT

Contributions to SALGA

Opening balance	0 1189-262	0 732 385
Councii subscriptions Amount pald - current year	1 189 262	-732 385
Balance unpaid (included in creditors)	\$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	0

Audit Fees

Opening balance Current year audit fee Amount paid - Current year Balance unpaid (included in creditors)	2 320 249 2 320 249 2 320 249	1 650 022 -1 650 022 0
VAT .	2012 R	2011 R

VAT is shown in notes 7. All VAT returns have been submitted by the due date throughout the year.

PAYE AND UIF

A. S.	0
23.797.485	23 788 706
23,797,485	-23 788 706
	23.797.485

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Pension and Medical Aid Deductions

Opening balance Current year payroll deductions and Control Contributions Amount paid - Current year Balance unpaid (included in creditors)

0
30 787 032
-30 787 032
0

The balance represents pension and medical aid contributions deducted from employees in the June 2012 payroll as well as Council's contribution to pension and medical aid funds. These amounts were paid during July 2012

Councillor's arrear consumer accounts

The following Councillors had arrear accounts outstanding for more than 90 days as at:

30th June 2012	Outstanding Outstanding Jess than 90 more than 90
Councillor: ME Ramolefo Councillor: RE Pohl Councillor: MG Mushwana	Total: days days Ri R: R: R 2 351 2 351 0 3 870 3 870 0 32 514 1010 31:504 88.736 7.231 31 504

30th June 2011		Outstanding less than 99	Outstanding more than 90
	Total	days	days
	R	R	R
Councillor: C Machimena	237	17	220
Councilior: OJ Mushwana	2 229	2 229	
Councillor: MJ Moiniba	2 576	1 260	1 316
Councilior: JHS Mbhaiati	7 938	127	7 416
Councilior : ME Ramolefo	1 579	1 199	381
Councillor: MC Nkhwashu	3 200	728	2 471
Councillor: RE Pohl	2 748	2 748	
Councillor: PJ Mkhabsis	1 294	1 294	
Councillor: MG Mushwana	34 103	1 053	33 050
Councillor: IAS Moowani	7 693	1 163	6 540
Councillor: MB Malekutu	424	424	
Councillor: MS Mallula	811	811	
Councillor: MM Mogoboyo	323	323	
Councillor: MB Mnisi	627	627	
	808	808	
Councillor: G Baloyi	1 701	1 701	
Councillor: P McGaffin Total Councillor Arrear Consumer Account	68 292	16 897	51 395

During the Year the following Councilions had arrear accounts outstanding for more than 90 days

30th June 2012	Highesi Amount Outstanding R	Ageing
Councillor : MG Mushwana	31,504	120 Days
30th June 2011	Highest	Ageing
	Amount	
	Outstanding	
	R	
Councillor: C Machimana	220	120 Days
Councillor: MJ Mothiba	1 318	120 Days
Councillor: JHS Mbhalati	7 416	120 Days
Councillor: ME Rampleto	381	120 Days
Councillor: MC Nkhwashu	2 471	120 Days
Councillor: MG Mushwana	33 050	120 Days
Councillor: MS Mboweni	6 540	120 Days

Highest Ageing

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

39 NON-COMPLIANCE WITH CHAPER11 OF THE MUNICIPAL FINANCE MANAGEMENT ACT

Deviation from Supply Chain Management Regulation

Paragraph 12 (1)(d)(i) of Government Gazette No. 27636 issued on 30 May 2005 states that a Supply Chain Management Policy must provide for the procurement of goods and services by vay of a competitive bidding process.

Paragraph 36 of the same Gazette states that the Accounting Officer may dispense with the official procurement process in certain circumstances, provided that he records the reasons for any deviations and reports them to the next meeting of the Council and includes a note to the Annual Financial Statements.

Please refer to Schedule 1 for a detailed schedule of the deviations from the Supply Chain Regulations.

40 CAPITAL COMMITMENTS	2012	2011
Commitments in respect of capital expanditure: - Approved and contracted for Infrastructure - Approved but not yet contracted for Infrastructure Community Heritage Other Housing Development Fund Investment Properties	118 654 828 105 484 828 5 335 000 7 855 000	8 39 500 000 39 500 000 76 976 400 66 631 400 5 880 000 4 465 000
This expenditure will be financed from: Internal Advances External Loans Government Grants Mile Grants	29 128 748 30 000 000 5 000 000 64 526 080 418 654 828	17 100 000 50 000 000 49 376 400

41 RETIREMENT BENEFIT INFORMATION

Joint Municipal Pension Fund

Actuarial Valuation

The last valuations of the Joint Municipal Pension Fund was done on 30 September 2010, $\,$

The results of the valuation (with provision for some future pension increases) are as follows

	17.000	22.00-
Actuarial value of Assets Total accrued liabilities Solvency reserve Surplus / (Deficit)	1:995/828/ 1:902/8/33/ 3:93:013/	1 956 314 1 845 529 110 785 0
Funding level (including solvency) 100.0%		
Municipal Employees Gratuity Fund		
The last valuation of the Municipal Employees Gratuity	Fund was done on 30 June	
2011	2011	2010
Actuariai Valuation	R'000	R'000
Share account	11 257 721	9 544 376
Reserve Account		229 798
Value of Fund 30 June 2011	<u> </u>	9 774 174

The fund is financial sound for the requirements of the Pension Fund Act.

2010

R'000

2009

R'000

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Municipal Employees Pension Fund

The last valuation of the MEPF was done on 29 February 2008

Actuarial Valuation	29/02/2008 R'000	29/02/2008 R'000
Assets Liabilities Contingency Reserves Surplus/(Deficit)	5 715 557. 4 990 547 382 289 382 721.	5 715 557 4 900 548 382 289 432 720
This convenients a funding level of		

This represents a funding level of 108.2%.		
lmatu Retirement Fund		
The above mentioned fund is a defined contrib regulation 2 of the Pension Fund of 1958 exempt if 9A and 16 of the Act.	ution fund and according to from the provisions of sections	
42 CONTINGENT LIABILITY	2012 R	2011 R
Paper guarantees housing loan (ABSA)	<u> </u>	1 000 000
A paper guaranty of R1 million has been negoti officials in respect of housing loans. No collateral I loans.	ieled with ABSA on behalf of s needed by ABSA on housing	
The Municipality is being sued by a mamber of the public for R708 446.78 for unlawfull arrest, detained, assaulted and publicly degraded by a Traffic Officer	708 446	708 446
The Municipality is being sued by 2 members of the public for R100 000 such for unlawful prosecution by our traffic department.	200,000	200 000
The Municipality is being sued by Dally Double on and others for civil liability viz toss of earnings, profit and general financial loss	23 000 000	23 000 060
The Municipality is being sued by Thabo		

Molepo for unlawful arrest by the traffic officers of the municipality.

The Municipality is being sued by Phadima Phadima Group regarding the sale of land.

A high court case between Telegenix and the Municipality, where the Municipality sold land but the purchaser falled to honour payments.

A disciplinary hearing regarding allegations of miscoduct between Adv Phatudi and the Municipality is still in progress.

A disciplinary hearing regarding allegations of miscoduct between Thabo Ramokgano and the Municipality is still in progress.

708-446	708 446
,200,000	200 000
23.000.000	23 000 000
1.000.000	
900:000	····
1-500.000	
4 000:000	
1.000 000	

43 CONTIGENT ASSETS

The estimated amount of recoverable traffic fines for 2012 is R4 029 538 (2011:R 1 571 390), being 35% (2011: 25%) of all the traffic fines amounting to R7 080 208 (2011:R3 110 380) issued but not yet recovered at year end.

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

44 RELATED PARTIES

Refer to Schedule 2 attached for detailed information.

Key management personnel Information

All Councillors have disclosed their interest in related parties and no one has the ability to control or exercise significant influence over Council in making financial and operational dicisions.

During the 2011/2012 financial year no Councillor or Official had any interest in related parties and no one could control or influence Council in making financial or operational decisions.

No remuneration was paid to family or key personnel.

There are no share based payments.

There are no posi-employment benefit for key personnel.

45 FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The main risks of the Municipality are interest rate risk, liquidity risk, credit risk and the fair value of financial instruments.

Interest rate risk

The Municipality is exposed to interest rate risk on its investments and long term borrowings.

This risk is managed by investing in investments with different maturity dates. This enables the Municipality to re-allocate some of the investments in the event of major fluctuations in the interest rates. Borrowings issued at variable rates expose the group to cash flow interest rate risk. Borrowings at fixed rates expose the municipality to tell value interest rate risk.

Currency risk

The Municipality does not have currency risk as in lerms of section 163 of the Municipal Finance Management Act, No. 56 of 2003, no municipality may incur a liability or risk payable in a foreign currency.

Liquidity risk

The Municipality's risk to liquidity is a result of the funds available to cover future commitments. The Municipality's policy on counterpart credit exposures ensures that only counterparties of a high credit standing are used for the investments of any excess cash.

Credit risk

Credit risk consists mainly of cash deposits, cash equivalents and trade debtots. The municipality only deposits cash with major banks with high quality credit standing and limited exposure to any one counterparty.

The utilisation of credit finits is regulary monitored.

Financial assets exposed to credit risk at year-end were as t	oliows.	
Long term llabilities - Standard Bank	21 011 252	
Long term liabilities - Standard Bank	3 3 281 875	
Long term liabilities - DBSA	40 373 580	-35 010 350
Long term liabilities - ABSA	11,600,600	-11 600 000
Long term liabilities - INCA	18 110 312	-19 829 40B
Long term liabilities - DBSA	32 527:144	34 780 000
Local regitered slock; DBSA	15 000 000	-15 000 000
Finance lease liability	6 689 108	-5 210 413
Investments - BOE	19 890 828	17 905 531
Investments - Liberty	3 786 347	2777 098
Non-current receivables	170 568	2 900 263
Trade and other receivables	54 582 9(1)	46 593 962

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Other receivables	104:587:505	116 587 927
Cash and cash equivalents	50 277 604	22 198 274
Trade and other payables	98 933 087	-79 307 759
Consumer deposits	18 554 112	11 574 824
VAT payable	25.738 089	-19 236 926
Unspent conditional grants and receipts	39 9 19 997	-15 909 094
	THE STATE OF	54 213 929

These balances represent the maximum exposure to credit risk

Going Concern

The annual financial statements have been prepared on the basis of accounting policies applicable to a going concern. This basis presumes that funds will be available to finance future operations and that the realisation of assets and settlement of liabilities, configent obligations and commitments will occur in the ordinary course of business

The ability of the municipality to continue as agoing concern is dependent on a number of factors. The most significant of these is that the accounting officer continue to procure funding for the ongoing operations for the municipality

Counterparties

The Municipality only deposits cash with major banks with high quality credit standing and limits exposure to any one counterparty. The Municipality has no significant concentration of credit risk with any single counterparty or a group of counterparties.

46 COMPARISON WITH THE BUDGET

The comparison of the Municipalitys actual financial performance with that budgeted is set out in Annexure E(1) and E(2) $\,$

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2012 NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SCHEDULE 1

Doviations of supply chain management processes 2011 2012

DATE DES				BEC	BAC		-	
pt					コルルドランショウ			
t di						OT CEDERAL	ANAORINT	REASON FOR DEVIATION
Jul-Sept	DESCRIPTION OF BIDS	BID NOWINGER			1	Т		
idac-inc								
					-			
						Deerdup		Only company which repairs
2011 Re	2011 Repairs on railway lines	N/A	ESD	N/A	N/A	landgoed Cc	R 41 553.00	R 41 553.00 railway lines around Tzaneen
								Un availability of NEC/NER
2	Purchase of NEC/NER	N/A	EES	N/A	N/A	Actom	K 215 361.96	R 215 361.96 mignt result in major dairiages
Pu	Purchase of spotfights and					MessrsJ		
tor		N/A	EES	N/A	N/A	Fortier	R 47 430.00 Sole suppier	Sole suppier
会議を記録を		到一次集團馬		聖禮子是			R 304344.96	立を記録を表し、他に関係を表している。 1987年の496年のは、日本のは、日本のは、日本のは、日本のは、日本のは、日本のは、日本のは、日本
Oct-Dec								
\mathbf{I}	Mayoral imbizos in ward					Swafanela		
04	04 and ward 13 Xihoko					trading		Only one service provider
2011 an	2011 and Mandlakazi	N/A	Corp	N/A	N/A	project	R 13 400.00 responded	responded
						ЕОН		
						infrastructure		
						and		
3	Cutover to new cabling (CAN/A	N/A	Corp	N/A	N/A	technologies	R 38 919.60 Emergency	Emergency
P. P.	Purchase of storm water pi N/A	N/A	ESD	N/A	N/A	ROCLA	R 136 059.23	R 136 059.23 Only supplier in Limpopo
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		經過基準	斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯				TR 1188'378'83	所是的作品的特別的問題,以他們們們們們可以不過一個的學生的學生,但是他們們們們們們們們們們們們們們們們們們們們們們們們們們們們們們們們們們們們
ğ	of Erf 1737 Nkowankowa					Shihundia		
Jan-Mar B		N/A	PED	N/A	N/A	Land	R 5 130.00	R 5 130.00 Only one quotation received
Pu	Purchasing of data							
8	cocentrators for							
Apr-Jun me	monitoring purposes	N/A	EES	N/A	N/A	Actom	R 416 955.00 Sole suppler	Sole suppier
ns.	Supply of two 7,4Kw and							
57	15Kw flight pumps for							
Ē	municipal hostels pump							
2012 station	ation	_	ESD	N/A	N/A	Jagger tec	R 142 267.62 Emergency	Emergency
							RES 9 22 6	

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2012 NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SCHEDULE 1

Deviations of supply chain management processes 2010 2011

			BEC	BAC			
Description of bids	Bid number	Relevant Section	recommendation	recommendation	Awarded to	Amo	Amount of award
					ARCH Actuarial		
Actuarial Services	Quotation	Financ : Expenditure	N/A	WA	Consuling	œ	33 060.00
Fire Detection System	:	Building and	Š	N. C.	QD Fire	α	37 843.00
Servicing	Quotation	Waintenance: ESIM	W.A.	Civi	o) security of		
Building of		٠					
Transformers of 2x20 MVA 66/11KVA OLTC	Closed Bid				Actom Power		
Trifs	/Quotation	Electrical Engineering N/A	NA	N/A	Transformers	OĽ.	R 10 264 370.00

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2012 NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SCHEDULE 2

RELATED PARTIES 2011 2012 Financial Year Related party transactions awared to Councillors or Officials in service of State

Name of person	Capacity in which person is in service	Successful tenderer	Contract No	ontract No Amount of award
, AN	NA	NA	NA	NA

During the 2011/2012 financial year no Councillor or Official or Member of the audit committee had any interest in related parties transaction and no one could control or influence Council in making financial or operational decisions.

RELATED PARTIES 2010 2011 Financial Year

During the 2010/2011 financial year no Councillor or Official or Member of the audit committee had any interest in related parties and no one could control or influence Council in making financial or operational decisions.

GREATER TZANEEN MUNICIPALITY

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2012 APPENDIXES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

KA	SCHEDULE OF EXTERNAL LOANS AS AT 30 JUNE 2012	Redeemed Balance at Carrying Other Costs written off 30-06-2012 Value of in accordance during the Property with the period	0 15 000 000 0 0 0 0 0	626 420 40 373 579 2 252 856 32 527 144 1 719 096 18 110 312 4 598 372 125 304 162	11 600 000 0 11 600 000 0	4 598 372 151 904 162 0 0
APPENDIX A	FRNAL LOA		00	21 011 252 13 281 875 5 989 649 0 0 40 282 776	0	40 282 776
	ILE OF EXTE	Bálánce at Received 30-06-2011 during the period	15 000 000	35 010 350 34 780 000 19 829 408 89 619 758	11 600 000	116 219 758
	SCHEDI	EXTERNALILOANS	LONG-TERM LOANS:STOCK DBSA TOTAL LONG-TERM LOANS	ANNUITY LOAN LOANS ANNUITY LOAN STANDARD BANK ANNUITY LOAN STANDARD BANK ANNUITY LOAN ABSA ANNUITY LOAN ABSA ANNUITY LOAN INCA	SINKING FUND SINKING FUND ABSA TOTAL SINKING FUND	TOTAL EXTERNAL LOANS

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDEO 30 JUNE 2012 APPENDIXES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Appendix B

Analysis of Property Plant and Equipment as et 30 Juna 2012.

Comparison Com	1200000000 100	1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,0	Community Asiacla (2000)	STORY TO STORY	DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON	SHOULD COLO							
100 100	1,000,000 1,000	1,000,000 1,000,000 1,00	Almonta	124 505 00	000	0,00	00'0	12H 040 HZL	178 556,00	000	000	TZa ava,ga	H
100,000 100	1000 1000	1000000000000000000000000000000000000	Camping	ימים מיום בייוז ב	200	000	00'0	1 200 COO C	A STAN AND	TA STOR OF	000	20 / 1 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 1	312
120,000,000 Company	120,000,000 Company	1000 1000	Fencing Con. 1 Co.	41 978 85	200	0000	004	41 000 out	A ORC AN	20 / 10 F.	000	M ACT (1'3)	A SCHOOL
137,000,000 Column Colum	137,000.001 137,000.001	13,000,000 1,0	Land & Dullgings 10 10	18	880	000	0.00	2015 300.00	П	000	000	00'0	2.010 000.0
1975/1970 1975	130,000,000 100,000	1975/1980/2003 2008 2009	Chronic conson Made	7 350 000,001	O'D'	00'0	0,00	00'000 050 2	ADD 273,70	100,205,34	000	300 4 79 12	G 849 620,8
1,000,000,000 1,000,000	100,000 100,	1,000,00000000000000000000000000000000	Members of Mere	10 276 000,001	00'0	0,00	0,00	10,775,000,00	3 702,606,741	200 425,00	00'0	4 000 100 70	10 000 000 01
1,000,000 1,000,000 1,00	1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,0	1900 1900	Attended	1 300 000,001	0,00	0,50	00'6	1 300 000,00	170 002,14	30 001 00	0000	16014374	9 140 AKB 2
12,000.001 12,	The color of the	The color of the	Partie Co.	70 707 BOO GO	00'0	0.00	0,30	Ze 302 000,00	no-1 m 1 o 1	223 ann an	000	1 11R GD MA	20 103 029 3
1,20,100.11 2,000	1,30,100,11 3,000 2,000 2,000 1,000,100 1,	1,00,100,11 2,000	Bond & Substrade C	24 Ono Act of	20.00	00'0	200	21 000 Nove to	BA 664 70	70 644 %	00.00	STATE AND AND	27.7 27.7
12,000,000 1,000,000 1,0	1975-1970-1971 1975-1970	1,000,000,000 1,000,000,00	Roods	11 007 102 11	0.00	0000	Deco	THE DIST TOUR LE	T 474 905 30	200 010 00	0.00	1 from OUT, NS	C-7 A 2-0
Company Comp	Column C	Comparison Com	Treffle Cehtre 10 pm.	100,000	00'0	0.00	0.00	852 000.00	24 810.07	0212.73	0.00	31 029.71	CO79 GC0
Control Cont	100 100	100 100	on a first standard to Specifically uses										
1500 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00	12002000000000000000000000000000000000	Control Cont	· Club Tetal · 17 · · · · ·	20,271 000 08			Sec. 25 1000 44	0.00 T/Z. 0.00 U/Z. 0.00	V 167 053.67	2 505 970,62	00 0 and	" 12 748 839.25 ···	V 66 101 147.6
Control Cont	Companies Comp	120 120	TO SERVICE AND THE SERVICE AND										
Control Cont	Control Cont	Control Cont	rawtrusture 2								- 1	-1	
17.000 1.0	17.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.0	17.00 1.00	- Lucial Parity	42 040 00	00'0	0.90	200	142 040,00	0.004.00	28-474.50	E	Ţ	1De puo
1000 1000	12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	17.00 17.0	Englisher	000 CCG CCC 47	7.20.22 46.7	000	0000	800 107 102 TD	161 10 057.07	7 144 TOUR	- 6	1	PAG 211 220
1717 1800	177,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,	14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	Court city and which and	2001/02/2019	4 954 443,450	9	D'an	1 252 /01/10	DATE CALCULA	240 CO3 HU	.8.	Ł	2 100 000
170 170	This paper Thi	1717 1800	Control of the Contro	A4 TO VAN THE	200		200	Conference of the party of the	4 000 mm mm	4 CO CAN DA	3 6	ł.	
177 177	177 177	17.00 March	Daniel W. C. L.	Contract Car Chy	4 6755 404 00	200	200	TAR OTTO AND	1 July 100 July	40 200 2000 20	Æ.		200 200
1/2 1/2	100 100	1/17/19/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/	Colld Weets	TO REA DE	27.7 413.00	000	000	2001 971 01	00 000 47	00 609 47			1 000 742
100 100	100 100	### 175.00 G.00 G.00 G.00 G.00 G.00 G.00 G.00	Mohamus and Commercial	00 00 ACT 12	0.00	800	010	43 672 409 00	11 NOT 1879 BY	3 136 KAR 3K	1_	1	CRR PAR BY
142 152	1982 1982	\$40,475,727.00 \$40,475,727.00	(Traffo(ta) & to tall %:	247 179,00	000	00'0	0.00	347 170,001	TZ 6.90.47	22 106 76	1	1 1	221 457
100 100	142 771 155 257 771 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Column C	ы										
1982 1982	1927 171 172	142 619 771,17 2.00 0.00	韌	1 662 379 473.41	12 609 044.Sp	06'5	State at 1000 ments and	70,00 7 684 N38 408 34 L	300 alto 736,54	40 020 111.01	2 OC 02	447.476.872.84	1 214 623 OIG.
100 100	100 100	100 100	Control of the contro										
142 072 771 772 0.00 0.0	100 100	1972 1972	The District	400 400 400	1000				000	Ton o		200	6 dates trees total
10011127 120	14.0 Fig 777.172 1.0 O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O			000 NO 000 NO	0.000	0.00	DOMESTIC STREET	CAN AND LASTER	0,00	W.00	2000	AVA	CONT GOOD (1907)
MACFIFFFEE GOOD G	142 072 771,772 170 00.00	142 073 771, 72 15 0.00 0.00	Mela dela Colonia del	1 00 000 Apr N27 20 1	27.43 Sec. 20.00 Page	The Sales Ballion	On any and on the control of	0.00 Pitt. 2 Spar non York 2011	1000	Ł	1000	1000	TON BON TOP
145 C19 T71 172 0.000 0.	146,0177,17 17 17 17 17 17 17 1	142 173	1.3		-		The same and						
142 191 771 72 150 00 10	142 191 771 73 1	140 19171.12 1000 1000 1000 1000 1100 11	AL WEST STATES										
100 11 100 11 100	100 100	\$ \$222 7550 75 (1907) 120 200 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Cand Constitution of the Constitution	342 010 771 12	0000	20.0	00'0	142 015 771,121	00'0	000	000	000	142 010 771
\$120 00 \$100 00 \$100 \$	\$ \$227.755 CO	\$ \$227.03.00 (190.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	A Company of the second second	1004, 445 643, 774,45	Contract to the second of	CONTROPOSITION OF	21 C. S.		Supply of the Control of	ŀ	200 0 0 00 000	2000000	- 444 644 PM
\$ \$418 000 27 0.00	\$ 5476 005.27 (\$\frac{9}{2}\) \$ 6.00 (\$\frac{1}{2}\) \$ 6.00 (\$\frac{1}\) \$ 6.00 (\$\frac{1}{2}\) \$ 6.00 (\$\frac{1}{	\$ \$418 005.27 40.00 0.00	Charles 201 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100										
STATE TO COOK	STATE TO COLUMN	STATE TO S	ONE Generalist Control of the										
120 CH 1	1000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 0	\$25,000 \$25,	SOUGHOR .	5 479 90527	000	00'0	- 8	5 410 005.71	1110 077.20	ZZ BK1.20	000	1 3PC ZZR40	4 (ND 170.
160 11 120	186 011 19	160 11 PM 170 12 PM 170	Computer Equipments of	3 227 739,02	(E) 171 12	80	ч	3 000 (70,80	1 / 40 45 7.03	600 OT 2 TO	19 099,08	2 27 1 042 CD	3307 7207
140 07 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04	140 074 14 19 140 074	150 01139	Selection of the second of the	50 280,29	0.00	0.00	0.00	00'212'20	16.77.3,00	4 707.31	000	22 403,00	G 11 23
1 CONTINUED	1 CONTROL 1 CO	100 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	CONTRACT OF STREET	7871.07	02 LZ LZ0	200	000	100 100 100	207.07	1000000	000	1000 mm	
14 000 0000000000000000000000000000000	11 000 000-20 20 20 20 20 40 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	14 000 000-20 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	אייםונים בפתיםשום י י י	- 1	0,00	000	0,00	100 010 mil	CB 1316, 30	750 TeV 675	00'0	177.07	3
11000000000000000000000000000000000000	1000000000000000000000000000000000000	1000 000 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	The state of the s	- 12	0000	200	0.00	4 (784.09)	- 1	000	0000	000	(MA)
130 CH 1	150 CHO 150	150 CH 1	MONOL VADICIOS	- 1	SC OF CANADA	200	0.00	37 P. J. P. P. B.	- 1	V 400 / 100	000	1 40+ 0/2 m	000
7.878 (14.77) 497 666.21 500	1838 (1477) 497 646(2) 500 5	7,550,114777 Style Color	CINE CONDUMNIC		10 00% 24	20.2	000	100010729		204 PON.//	000	71.707.761	700 000
					0000	2000	200	20,017,00	-1	200000	0000	100	10.0
			Could be districted by the country of the country o	1866 1804	אלו מאלול	200	0.00	0 450 USA 0	ч.	the state of	00'0	200000	0 000 1 Plus
			***************************************	DA CASS AS	0000	300	000	An than he		2000	000	Office and the	40000
				DY DAN AG	1000	AAV	1200	TOTAL STATE OF THE	Į.	771117	N. KOR	AL VANCET	TA'VAL
			Sub Total	5.11.21 638 227.76	27 342 008,00	A.K. 0.00	22 400,451 3.1 3.15.	0.00	12 863 219 Bdl	4 605 CTR 65	10 000 BA	125 000 GCE TA	41 606 033.7
			かんかん おいかい ひかがた かいしゅかん かん										
			Therefore the second se										
			Software Serves Serves	SP 124.503	1 202.66	0.00	00'0	1 DB 7460,00 E	10 047,041	30,103,00	0.00	40.651.421	48 55.3
			Sub Lettel 11 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 1	TOO WELL ABOVE TO	V "(203,601 .	S-140001-1-1		0,001 **** ** * 99 400,091	162/261 JA	2 01 00 100 an prox	CO. 60'0	West Report of the Control of the Co	Constant day
			ork to Progress S. C. S. S.										
			-Work in Program.		40 PDS 327.201+	0.00	Ш	U					04 0pg 172.9
			Sub Total	7. 35 638 Z40 op!	- BC 700 201-70	C . C . D CO . C.	A 200 CA CO O BOO! A SAT ARE	Same and Same and Arrange	Sales Control	A 2 10 00 1 2 1 1	V 1000 V VVV	. c. c. c. c. d. no.	1. At 000 17:0
2000 2. THE SECONTION OF A TRANSPORT OF A TRANSPORT OF A TRANSPORT OF THE SECOND OF	20003 - 18 KOSO (2004 (2) 2005 (2) 0.00 (2) 0.00 (2) 0.00 (2) 0.00 (2) 0.00 (2) 0.00 (2) 0.00 (3) 0.00 (3) 0.00 (4) 0.0	14 COCCOSS_COL 4 TILD SEC.46											
12 (15 days) 12 (15 days) 12 (15 days) 13 (15	14.00 CONTROLL 17.20 SEC. 1.00 CONTROLL	19.419480,05.00. 4.723,857.40	habd 'Assets woodspools always	The state of the									
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 5 6 5 5 5 5 5 5 7 1 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	2. 12 (1.12.1.1.15 GGS GGGSD] (1004 213 SGSSG (1717 A) 10 GG (1.12.1.15) (1.12.1.15) (1.14.1.15) (1.14.1.15) (1.14.1.15) (1.15.1.15)	Leaved Assett	19 KKK (93K KII)	4 213 862 40	000	132121211	19415428,841	11 243 649,72	4 007 151,15	354240,71	7.270.700.70	Z G20 G20
To not one of the second of th	30 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000	10 c/2 200 277/27 111 073 664 14 275 (10 08)	71	to the des cele and better	The design on a			100	The state of the s				1
			1	30 050 000 01	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		The second of the second	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	The part of	- 10 (.TV7.101-5.0	- TOW (VALUE)	SS 01'04' 01E / W	000000

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2012 APPENDIXES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Appendix C

	San	NBV 2011 2012	6 251 899.00	760 569 688.95	2 513 858.74	33 260 288,91	68 717.42	235 734 296.77	142 019 427.68	6 753 913.79	522 196 313,36	49 529 952.29	10 773 881.55	82 829.30	349 534,94
	A 18 SA	Glosing Depreciation 2011	2 865 185,72	191 552 580,58	916 083.04	11 289 093,51	54 063,75	11 519 701 74	84 173,09	3 727 752 26	250 721 339.82	7 544 255.69	4 498 104.90	161 848.72	25 403 27
	A STANSON OF STANSON	Deprecation Zd11 2012	2 354 23	00'0	0.00	1877.04	00.0	00.00	0.00	00.0	8 368 704.83	00.0	00.0	00.0	00'0
		D.Doproclation 2011	591 655.64	36 312 015.88	181 576.93	3 117 047.12	10 788.84	2 303 654,01	15 308.64	2 289 540.91	52 616 782,00	1 503 232,37	1 059 957,32	39 284.40	25 053,89
resolutive cold.	Section of the second	Depreciation 2011 11	2 276 884.31	155 240 564,68	734 406.12	8 173 923.43	43.274.91	9 216 047.72	68 864.45	1 458 211,35	206 473 262.84	6 041 023,33	3 438 147,58	122 564,32	349.38
a receives of reoperty reality and equipment as a conjune 2012	Commence of the Commence of th	Closing Cost 2011 - 2012	9 118 064.72	952 122 289,52	3 429 939,78	44 549 382.42	122 781.17	247 253 998.50	142 103 600,77	10 481 666.06	772 917 653.17	57 074 207.98	15 271 986,45	244 678.02	375 038,21
rioperty right.	closed conditioned	Capatalized		11 463 523.57							1 928 104.00		55 777.65		
	こうしょうしゃ ないかいからいからい	Disposais 2011	5 857.50	00:00	0.00	1877,04	00.0	00'088 288 96.	00.00	0.00	8 368 704.83	0.00	0.00	000	00.00
P.	Section Sectin Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section	Add/ttons: FMV 2011 - 2012	000	00.00	0.00	0.00	00'0	00.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	のでは、大学の大学のでは、	Additions 2011	0.00	77 539 671.58	00.00	27 043 022 84	00'0	00'0	00.0	4 269 585.07	1 928 104.00	0,00	293 190,65	00.00	00.00
	Secretarion Secre	Opening Cost	9 123 942.22	888 046 121,51	3 429 939.78	17 508 236.62	122 781.17	344 136 828.50	142 103 600.77	6 212 070.99	781 286 358,00	57 074 207.98	15 004 573.45	244 578.02	375 038.21
	TO DESIGNATION OF SECTION SECT	Opening Cock Additions 2011 Additions Fav. Disposition Review Levels 2012 2011 2012	Community & Social Services	Eloctricity Call Comments	Executive & Council,	A Finance & Admin State 23 23 25	A. Health & Ask S. Sask S. State of the Hoalth	- Housing to St. Steel Street Street	Planning & Development	"Public Safety or Street Safety	"Road Transport"	Sparts & Recreation (N. 1973)	soment of the second	ANAMOR SALAMANAMATIC SALALL	:!Water'&'Santation @it By Phys Wall
	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	Row Labels	Community.8	Eloctricity	Executive, & .	Finance & Ag	A. Health S. A. S. S. S.	* Housing	Planning & D	Public Safoty	Road Transp.	Sports & Rec	Waste Management (1)	SACTOR NOTOR	:: Water & Sant

These appendix includes Intangible assets investment properties and property plant and equipment.

Grand Tobing The Control of Section 1990 (2.22.888 377.21 | 1991 (10.07) 584.74 | 1990 (1990 (1990 25) 259.35 | 13 447 405.22 | 2.255.055 286.77 | 1990 257 524.27 | 1990 258.05 | 1990 258.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990 458.05 | 1990

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2012 APPENDIXES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

APPENDIX D

Sport & Recreation 36 719 13:583.392 -13:546:673 Sport & Recreation 2.775.690 -2.775.690 Waste Management 22 934.834 34:060.665 -11.125.831 Other 677.487.983 766.127.342 -88:639:359 Less Inter-Dep Charges 677.487.983 766.127.342 -88:639:359	317 Sport & Recreation 320 Waste Management 705 Waste Water Management 0 Other Less Inter-Dep Charges 246 Total	-14 853 317 Sport & Recreation -3 346 320 Waste Management -10 771 705 Waste Water Management 0 Other -64 273 246 Sub Total Less Inter-Dep Charges -64 273 246 Total
363 Road Transport 317 Sport & Recreation 320 Waste Management 705 Waste Water Management 0 Other Less Inter-Dep Charges 246 Total	-72 197 363 Road Transport -14 853 317 Sport & Recreation -3 346 320 Waste Management -10 771 705 Waste Water Management Other -64 273 246 Sub Total Less Inter-Dep Charges -64 273 246 Total	-72 197 -14 853 -3 346 -10 771 -64 273
	-72 197 363 -14 853 317 -3 346 320 -10 771 705 0 -64 273 246	-72 197 -14 853 -3 346 -10 771 -64 273

:..

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2012 APPENDIXES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

APPENDIX E(1) ACTUAL VERSES BUDGET FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2012

	ᅰ	ERSES BUDG			VERSES BUDGET FOR THE TEXT ENDED OF SECURITION AND SECURITION OF SECURIT
	2012	AUS ZOTZ	VARIANCE (R)	VARIANCE (%)	VARIANCE (%) GREATER THAN 10% VERSUS BUDGET
Neverthands	55 797 050	AR 501 ORR	13	-18.19%	-18.19% Properties not listed in deeds office. Valuation roll updated
riodelly lates			55 280	1 78%	
Property readies - Penalties imposed and conection of	300 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 300	30	5 394 195	-1.64%	
Designation of the second of t	000 000		160 204	40.74%	
Rental of lacinges and equipment	5 6 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	E4 000	5 108 047	20 01%	29 0.1% Pravine years cash flow constraints addressed. Funds available to invest.
interest camed - external investments	100 001 0	000 IC	744 577	A 20%	
Interest earned - Outstanding deblors	25 050 0	07/4004	1 ETO 4E4	% T 20%	SE 75% Contractor appropriate to recover or standing traffic fines.
Fines	780 800 Y	001 000 7	105 704	300000	
Licences and permits	CO 0004	200 200	100 000	7 600%	
Income for agency services	47 233 158	43 843 100	000 DRC 8-	2000/0	
Government grants and subsidies	208 297 060	243 502 531	35 205 471	36.80%	
Other Income	6 815 438	3 791 783	-3 023 655	44.36%	
Public contributions, donated/contributed PPE Gains on disposal of property, plant and equipemen	-3 503	1 500 000	1 503 503	42920.44%	
Operating Income generated Loss Income Foregone	677 487 982	682 705 617	5 217 635	0.00%	
Total Operating Income	677 487 982	682 705 617		0.77%	
EXPENDITURE					
	97 737 149	90 606 731	-7 130 418	-7.30%	-7.30% R 7,3mitton provided for Post-Employment Health Care benefits & Long Serv. Award
Remuneration of Councillors	16 655 144		378717	2,27%	
Bad debts X	12 486 142	100	4 001 164	-32.02%	
Collection costs	317 328	200 000	-117 328	36.97%	
Loss on inventory	-38 85c		38 856	-100.00%	-100.00% Increase in Inventory.
Depreciation	89 936 375	84 703 888	-5 232 487	-5.24%	
Repairs and maintenance	90 714 319	95 823 526	5 109 207	5.63%	
Interest on external borrowings	12 766 508	15 722 688	2 956 180		Standard Bank loan approved late in the year (30 June 2012).
impairment of assets	86 882 830		-96 882 830	~100.00%	
Bulk purchases	204 802 906	N	2 109 031	1.03%	
Contracted services	28 882 588		5 873 687	20.33%	20,33% Termination of vegetation control contract service to be periorined inflouse.
Grants and subsidies paid	24 560 971	28 872 349	4311378	17.55%	
General expenses - other (including abnormal exper	60 403 937	81 979 501	1 575 564	1.96%	
Contributions to/(transfers from) provisions		0	0	0.00%	
Loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment		0	0	0.00%	
Cash Requirement .		0	0	0.00%	
Total Expenditure	766 127 341	675 116 734	-91 011 607	-11.88%	
NET STREET IN CHERCITAGOR THE VEAR	98 629 359	7 589 883	96 229 242	-108,56%	
יייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי	PAR PAR PAR				
		Name and Address of the Parket	The second second		

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2012 APPENDIXES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS